

Likely Voters are Ready to Enhance Affordable Housing in Broward County

In a Listener Group study of 635 likely Broward County voters, we found 59.7% the setting aside of .2 mills to support and fund affordable housing. Throughout this study we asked over 30 questions using live operators to dig deep into what the voters think of a variety of different issues. On the following pages is the topline data for this information.

METHODOLOGY:

The persons sampled were Broward County likely voters with a voting score of 100% for the past 4 general election cycles. The voters called were those only with landlines and cellphones via Live Operator Research Agents. The interviews were conducted between 11AM and 9PM between February 21-24. The Margin of Error for this study is +/- 3.5% with a confidence level of 95%.

TOPLINE DATA IS ON THE FOLLOWING 10 PAGES AND THE CROSS TABS START ON PAGE 12.

First, when asked about the direction of the county, we found that 42.2% felt as Broward county was going I the right direction with 16.5% having mixed feelings and 13.7% saying we were going in the wrong direction.

1. In general, do you think that Broward County is headed in the right direction or the wrong direction?			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Right Direction	268	42.2%
	2. Mixed	105	16.5%
	3. Wrong Direction	87	13.7%
	4. Don't Know	175	27.6%

Interestingly, when asked about taxed, the majority of those responding thought their taxed were about right (38.1%). Those who thought their taxed were much too high was at 28.3%. Not surprisingly though, only 2.7% thought their taxes a bit low.

2. What is your feeling about the overall level of taxes you pay as a resident of Broward County -- that is, property taxes and sales taxes -- are they much too high, somewhat high, about right, or even a bit low given all the services they pay for?			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Much too high	180	28.3%
	2. Somewhat too high	117	18.4%
	3. About right	242	38.1%
	4. A bit low	17	2.7%

5. Don't know	79	12.4%
---------------	----	-------

Now on the next seven questions, we alternated the order of the questions to remove any type of biased. We asked them to please rate each of the following issue areas as either very important, somewhat important, or not important as a priority for Broward County to take action to address.

When it came to jobs, that was a damning 87.3% who felt this issue was either somewhat or very important.

3. Jobs and economic development			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very important		445	70.1%
2. Somewhat Important		109	17.2%
3. Not Important		32	5.0%
4. Don't know		49	7.7%

However, when it came to the environment, the numbers grew to 88% thinking this was somewhat or very important.

4. Restoration and Protection of the Environment			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very important		425	66.9%
2. Somewhat Important		134	21.1%
3. Not Important		46	7.2%
4. Don't know		30	4.7%

Next, when it came to traffic congestion and gridlock, we see 83.8% saying these issues are important to very important.

5. Traffic Congestion and Gridlock			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very important		362	57.0%
2. Somewhat Important		170	26.8%
3. Not Important		63	9.9%
4. Don't know		40	6.3%

Again, when it came to creating services to help low-income families, we find that 84.7% feel as though this is somewhat to very important.

6. Services to help Low-Income families			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Very important	372	58.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	166	26.1%
	3. Not Important	48	7.6%
	4. Don't know	49	7.7%

When it came to homelessness, we found that 87.2% thought this issue to me somewhat to very important.

7. Homelessness			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Very important	404	63.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	150	23.6%
	3. Not Important	37	5.8%
	4. Don't know	44	6.9%

Now, when it comes to affordable housing, we see that 84.2% says this issue is either somewhat or very important.

8. Affordable Housing			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Very important	364	57.3%
	2. Somewhat Important	171	26.9%
	3. Not Important	64	10.1%
	4. Don't know	36	5.7%

Next, when it comes to the ensuring of appropriate mix of housing in the community, we find 73.9% find this to be either somewhat or very important to have action taken.

9. Ensuring the appropriate mix of Housing			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very important		268	42.2%
2. Somewhat Important		201	31.7%
3. Not Important		66	10.4%
4. Don't know		100	15.7%

Finally, when it comes to addressing the cost of housing, we see 83.9% saying this is important to very important for the county to take action on.

10. Cost of Housing			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very important		360	56.7%
2. Somewhat Important		173	27.2%
3. Not Important		54	8.5%
4. Don't know		48	7.6%

Next, we wanted to check on their views of the handling of the Coronavirus Pandemic and we see that 54.8% strongly oppose Gov. Ron DeSantis' handling of this situation.

11. Do you Support or Oppose how Governor Ron DeSantis has handled the Coronavirus Pandemic?			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Strongly support		155	24.4%
2. Somewhat support		87	13.7%
3. Somewhat oppose		86	13.5%
4. Strongly oppose		262	41.3%
5. Don't know		45	7.1%

Next, we see that 55.7% would support a ballot issue to change the County Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million. This is pretty strong without any campaign in place for the approval of this measure.

12. In 2022, there may be a ballot issue asking Broward County voters to approve a change to the County’s Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million in 2020 dollars, to be used solely for affordable housing projects. Would you be likely to vote for or against this?

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. For		354	55.7%
2. Against		153	24.1%
3. Don't know		128	20.2%

Now we wanted to know how strong the support or opposition was to this measure. What we see is that 52.6% are strongly in favor with 27.1% saying they were somewhat in favor of the ballot initiative. Those who were not sure on the previous question were not included for this question.

13. And are you strongly [FOR/AGAINST] this or just somewhat [FOR/AGAINST]?

Responses: 507		Total	Overall %
1. Strongly for		267	52.6%
2. Somewhat for		136	27.1%
3. Somewhat against		46	9.0%
4. Strongly against		49	9.6%
5. Don't know		9	1.7%

Next, we read a list of possible projects this change in the County’s charter would fund. What you will clearly see in the tabulation is the voters’ opinion of the worth of each project. The choices given where: think each project is definitely worth funding, probably worth funding, or not worth increasing taxes for.

When it comes to workforce housing, we see 68.2% saying this is worth the funding.

14. Build workforce housing for teachers, police officers and front-line medical workers

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Definitely worth		283	44.6%
2. Probably worth		150	23.6%
3. Not worth		145	22.8%
4. Don't know		57	9.0%

When looking at this worth, we see 81.7% saying they see the value in housing for low-income residents.

15. Build Housing for very low-income residents			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Definitely worth	314	49.4%
	2. Probably worth	205	32.3%
	3. Not worth	81	12.8%
	4. Don't know	35	5.5%

Now here, we asked about the worth for providing subsidies for private developers we find 61.6% saying this is worth it.

16. Provide subsidies for private developers to build Affordable Housing			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Definitely worth	188	29.6%
	2. Probably worth	203	32.0%
	3. Not worth	171	26.9%
	4. Don't know	73	11.5%

And when it comes to the worth of the provision of rent subsidies for certain families, we see that 76.2% feel that the ballot initiative is worth it for these reasons.

17. Provide rent subsidies for families meeting income limitations.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Definitely worth	296	46.6%
	2. Probably worth	188	29.6%
	3. Not worth	86	13.5%
	4. Don't know	65	10.2%

Next, we see 85.2% see the worth with the providing of housing to low-income seniors.

18. Provide housing assistance to low-income seniors			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Definitely worth	407	64.1%
	2. Probably worth	134	21.1%
	3. Not worth	55	8.7%
	4. Don't know	39	6.1%

Finally, we see 86.1% saying they see the worth when it comes to relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing.

19. Relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Definitely worth	374	58.9%
	2. Probably worth	173	27.2%
	3. Not worth	50	7.9%
	4. Don't know	38	6.0%

Next, we found that increased density, changing the character of the neighborhood, higher property taxes and negative impact on property values (all combined) were the large concerns at 38.7%. If you break it out individually, increased density, changing the character of the neighborhood and higher property taxes were more or less in a three-way statistical tie.

20. What is your greatest concern regarding affordable housing development in Broward County?			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Increased density	64	10.1%
	2. Negative impact on property values	42	6.6%
	3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	65	10.2%
	4. Higher property taxes	73	11.5%
	5. Combo-All of the above	246	38.7%
	6. Other	32	5.0%
	7. None	58	9.1%
	8. Don't know	55	8.7%

For this last section we read a set of statement supporters might make as a reason to VOTE FOR affordable housing projects. We asked them to identify each to be a very persuasive reason to vote Yes, a somewhat persuasive to vote Yes, or not persuasive reason.

First, we found 64.9% saying this argument is either somewhat or very persuasive.

21. This funding will reduce foreclosures.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		238	37.5%
2. Somewhat persuasive		174	27.4%
3. Not persuasive		125	19.7%
4. Don't know		98	15.4%

Now, we found that 69.8% think this argument for more reliability for those wanting and needing a place to live is either somewhat or very persuasive.

22. These investments will make it easier and more reliable for those wanting or needing a place to live.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		261	41.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive		182	28.7%
3. Not persuasive		114	18.0%
4. Don't know		78	12.3%

When it comes to making our neighborhoods better, we see 69% thinking this is a persuasive argument.

23. Improvements to affordable housing will make our neighborhoods better.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		229	36.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive		209	32.9%
3. Not persuasive		128	20.2%
4. Don't know		69	10.9%

With the argument of reducing homelessness, we find that 77.6% find this to be persuasive to their decision making.

24. It is important that housing for very low-income residents is made available to reduce homelessness in Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		313	49.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive		180	28.3%
3. Not persuasive		80	12.6%
4. Don't know		62	9.8%

Here we have 72.1% seeing a persuasive argument to ensure an appropriate mix of housing and better enhancing the growth management.

25. It is important that we ensure an appropriate mix of housing for all of our residents.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		266	41.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		192	30.2%
3. Not persuasive		112	17.6%
4. Don't know		65	10.2%

Looking at the assistance for first time homebuyers as well as those who need rental assistance, we see 80% calling this argument persuasive.

26. Broward county needs dedicated funding for affordable housing programs that provide help first time homebuyers and people in need of rent assistance.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		325	51.2%
2. Somewhat persuasive		183	28.8%
3. Not persuasive		71	11.2%
4. Don't know		56	8.8%

When asking about the initiative funding hundreds of new housing units we see 71% saying this is a persuasive argument and reason.

27. This plan will fund hundreds of new housing units throughout Broward County.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		282	44.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive		169	26.6%
3. Not persuasive		118	18.6%
4. Don't know		66	10.4%

Here we see 79.7% agree that something needs to be done now in order to address the issues before they get worse.

28. Since Broward will continue to grow, affordable housing issues will only get worse if we don't do something now to address them.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		374	58.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		132	20.8%
3. Not persuasive		67	10.6%
4. Don't know		62	9.8%

When looking at the need for affordable housing which needs to be enhanced with the idea of reducing homelessness, we see 75.8% saying this is a persuasive argument.

29. Homelessness is a problem which impacts every resident of Broward County and the lack of affordable housing is a major part of the problem.			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		328	51.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive		153	24.1%
3. Not persuasive		86	13.5%
4. Don't know		68	10.7%

Next, when it comes to the investment in affordable housing creating jobs, we see 79.7% finding this to be persuasive argument.

30. This investment in affordable housing will create JOBS since companies looking to relocate or grow here in Broward need affordable housing for their workforce.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		318	50.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive		188	29.6%
3. Not persuasive		77	12.1%
4. Don't know		52	8.2%

Now, when it came to having a dedicated funding source for the yearly demand of affordable housing, we see 71.5% finding this argument to be persuasive.

31. A dedicated funding source is needed in order to keep up with the yearly demand for affordable housing.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		252	39.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive		202	31.8%
3. Not persuasive		101	15.9%
4. Don't know		80	12.6%

Now, after all of the questions we asked, we see 59.7% supporting the initiative with 21.7% saying they are against. That is an increase of 4% in support with a decrease of 2.4% against the measure. Those unsure went from 20.2% down to 18.6%.

32. One last time, considering all you've heard in taking this poll, would you vote FOR or AGAINST requiring the County to set aside each year .2 mills, or approximately 30 million in current dollars, to fund affordable housing?

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. For		379	59.7%
2. Against		138	21.7%
3. Don't know		118	18.6%

FULL DATA REPORT

In general, do you think that Broward County is headed in the right direction or the wrong direction?			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Right Direction		268	42.2%
2. Mixed		105	16.5%
3. Wrong Direction		87	13.7%
4. Don't Know		175	27.6%

1. In general, do you think that Broward County is headed in the right direction or the wrong direction?				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Right Direction		111	43.0%	17.5%
2. Mixed		38	14.7%	6.0%
3. Wrong Direction		44	17.1%	6.9%
4. Don't Know		65	25.2%	10.2%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Right Direction		151	40.8%	23.8%
2. Mixed		67	18.1%	10.6%
3. Wrong Direction		43	11.6%	6.8%
4. Don't Know		109	29.5%	17.2%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Right Direction		6	85.7%	0.9%
2. Mixed		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Wrong Direction		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't Know		1	14.3%	0.2%

--

1. In general, do you think that Broward County is headed in the right direction or the wrong direction?

Responses: 635 Total Crosstab % Overall %

Race (FDoS)

American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1

1. Right Direction	1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Mixed	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Wrong Direction	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't Know	0	0.0%	0.0%

Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15

1. Right Direction	7	46.7%	1.1%
2. Mixed	2	13.3%	0.3%
3. Wrong Direction	1	6.7%	0.2%
4. Don't Know	5	33.3%	0.8%

Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179

1. Right Direction	79	44.2%	12.5%
2. Mixed	21	11.6%	3.3%
3. Wrong Direction	14	7.8%	2.2%
4. Don't Know	65	36.4%	10.3%

Hispanic, Responses: 102

1. Right Direction	57	55.9%	9.0%
2. Mixed	15	14.7%	2.4%
3. Wrong Direction	8	7.8%	1.3%
4. Don't Know	22	21.6%	3.5%

White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314

1. Right Direction	125	39.8%	19.7%
--------------------	-----	-------	-------

	2. Mixed	55	17.5%	8.7%
	3. Wrong Direction	51	16.2%	8.0%
	4. Don't Know	83	26.4%	13.1%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Right Direction	5	38.5%	0.8%
	2. Mixed	3	23.1%	0.5%
	3. Wrong Direction	3	23.1%	0.5%
	4. Don't Know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Right Direction	2	40.0%	0.3%
	2. Mixed	2	40.0%	0.3%
	3. Wrong Direction	1	20.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't Know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Right Direction	2	33.3%	0.3%
	2. Mixed	2	33.3%	0.3%
	3. Wrong Direction	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't Know	2	33.3%	0.3%

1. In general, do you think that Broward County is headed in the right direction or the wrong direction?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Right Direction	11	45.8%	1.7%
	2. Mixed	5	20.8%	0.8%
	3. Wrong Direction	2	8.3%	0.3%
	4. Don't Know	6	25.0%	0.9%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Right Direction	30	43.5%	4.7%

	2. Mixed	8	11.6%	1.3%
	3. Wrong Direction	11	15.9%	1.7%
	4. Don't Know	20	29.0%	3.1%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Right Direction	42	39.6%	6.6%
	2. Mixed	23	21.7%	3.6%
	3. Wrong Direction	9	8.5%	1.4%
	4. Don't Know	32	30.2%	5.0%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Right Direction	87	45.8%	13.7%
	2. Mixed	31	16.3%	4.9%
	3. Wrong Direction	25	13.2%	3.9%
	4. Don't Know	47	24.7%	7.4%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Right Direction	98	39.8%	15.4%
	2. Mixed	38	15.4%	6.0%
	3. Wrong Direction	40	16.3%	6.3%
	4. Don't Know	70	28.5%	11.0%

1. In general, do you think that Broward County is headed in the right direction or the wrong direction?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Right Direction	169	42.4%	26.6%
	2. Mixed	64	16.0%	10.1%
	3. Wrong Direction	44	11.0%	6.9%
	4. Don't Know	122	30.6%	19.2%

No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Right Direction	31	38.8%	4.9%	
2. Mixed	12	15.0%	1.9%	
3. Wrong Direction	12	15.0%	1.9%	
4. Don't Know	25	31.2%	3.9%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Right Direction	68	43.6%	10.7%	
2. Mixed	29	18.6%	4.6%	
3. Wrong Direction	31	19.9%	4.9%	
4. Don't Know	28	17.9%	4.4%	

2. What is your feeling about the overall level of taxes you pay as a resident of Broward County -- that is, property taxes and sales taxes -- are they much too high, somewhat high, about right, or even a bit low given all the services they pay for?

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Much too high	180	28.3%	
2. Somewhat too high	117	18.4%	
3. About right	242	38.1%	
4. A bit low	17	2.7%	
5. Don't know	79	12.4%	

2. What is your feeling about the overall level of taxes you pay as a resident of Broward County -- that is, property taxes and sales taxes -- are they much too high, somewhat high, about right, or even a bit low given all the services they pay for?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Much too high	74	28.7%	11.7%	
2. Somewhat too high	53	20.5%	8.3%	
3. About right	105	40.7%	16.5%	
4. A bit low	6	2.3%	0.9%	
5. Don't know	20	7.8%	3.1%	

Female, Responses: 370				
1. Much too high	102	27.6%	16.1%	
2. Somewhat too high	63	17.0%	9.9%	
3. About right	136	36.8%	21.4%	
4. A bit low	11	3.0%	1.7%	
5. Don't know	58	15.7%	9.1%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Much too high	4	57.1%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat too high	1	14.3%	0.2%	
3. About right	1	14.3%	0.2%	
4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%	

2. What is your feeling about the overall level of taxes you pay as a resident of Broward County -- that is, property taxes and sales taxes -- are they much too high, somewhat high, about right, or even a bit low given all the services they pay for?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Much too high	1	100.0%	0.2%	
2. Somewhat too high	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. About right	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Much too high	4	26.7%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat too high	3	20.0%	0.5%	
3. About right	6	40.0%	0.9%	
4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	2	13.3%	0.3%	

Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Much too high	72	40.3%	11.4%	
2. Somewhat too high	35	19.4%	5.5%	
3. About right	37	20.9%	5.9%	
4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	35	19.4%	5.5%	
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Much too high	41	40.2%	6.5%	
2. Somewhat too high	22	21.6%	3.4%	
3. About right	26	25.5%	4.0%	
4. A bit low	1	1.0%	0.2%	
5. Don't know	12	11.8%	1.8%	
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Much too high	70	22.2%	11.0%	
2. Somewhat too high	55	17.6%	8.7%	
3. About right	143	45.4%	22.4%	
4. A bit low	12	3.9%	1.9%	
5. Don't know	34	10.9%	5.4%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. Much too high	5	38.5%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat too high	3	23.1%	0.5%	
3. About right	4	30.8%	0.6%	
4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Much too high	2	40.0%	0.3%	
2. Somewhat too high	1	20.0%	0.2%	

	3. About right	2	40.0%	0.3%
	4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%
	5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Much too high	3	50.0%	0.5%
	2. Somewhat too high	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. About right	2	33.3%	0.3%
	4. A bit low	0	0.0%	0.0%
	5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

2. What is your feeling about the overall level of taxes you pay as a resident of Broward County -- that is, property taxes and sales taxes -- are they much too high, somewhat high, about right, or even a bit low given all the services they pay for?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Much too high	7	29.2%	1.1%
	2. Somewhat too high	6	25.0%	0.9%
	3. About right	4	16.7%	0.6%
	4. A bit low	3	12.5%	0.5%
	5. Don't know	4	16.7%	0.6%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Much too high	24	34.8%	3.8%
	2. Somewhat too high	13	18.8%	2.0%
	3. About right	24	34.8%	3.8%
	4. A bit low	1	1.4%	0.2%
	5. Don't know	7	10.1%	1.1%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Much too high	22	20.8%	3.5%
	2. Somewhat too high	16	15.1%	2.5%
	3. About right	44	41.5%	6.9%

	4. A bit low	3	2.8%	0.5%
	5. Don't know	21	19.8%	3.3%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Much too high	62	32.6%	9.8%
	2. Somewhat too high	34	17.9%	5.4%
	3. About right	73	38.4%	11.5%
	4. A bit low	6	3.2%	0.9%
	5. Don't know	15	7.9%	2.4%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Much too high	65	26.4%	10.2%
	2. Somewhat too high	48	19.5%	7.6%
	3. About right	97	39.4%	15.3%
	4. A bit low	4	1.6%	0.6%
	5. Don't know	32	13.0%	5.0%

2. What is your feeling about the overall level of taxes you pay as a resident of Broward County -- that is, property taxes and sales taxes -- are they much too high, somewhat high, about right, or even a bit low given all the services they pay for?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Much too high	102	25.6%	16.1%
	2. Somewhat too high	66	16.5%	10.4%
	3. About right	156	39.1%	24.6%
	4. A bit low	12	3.0%	1.9%
	5. Don't know	63	15.8%	9.9%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Much too high	28	35.0%	4.4%
	2. Somewhat too high	18	22.5%	2.8%
	3. About right	26	32.5%	4.1%

	4. A bit low	2	2.5%	0.3%
	5. Don't know	6	7.5%	0.9%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Much too high	50	32.1%	7.9%
	2. Somewhat too high	33	21.2%	5.2%
	3. About right	60	38.5%	9.4%
	4. A bit low	3	1.9%	0.5%
	5. Don't know	10	6.4%	1.6%

3. Jobs and economic development

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Very important	445	70.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	109	17.2%
	3. Not Important	32	5.0%
	4. Don't know	49	7.7%

3. Jobs and economic development

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very important	186	72.1%	29.3%
	2. Somewhat Important	41	15.9%	6.5%
	3. Not Important	15	5.8%	2.4%
	4. Don't know	16	6.2%	2.5%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very important	254	68.6%	40.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	68	18.4%	10.7%
	3. Not Important	17	4.6%	2.7%
	4. Don't know	31	8.4%	4.9%

Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very important	5	71.4%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%	

3. Jobs and economic development				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	1	100.0%	0.2%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very important	9	60.0%	1.4%	
2. Somewhat Important	2	13.3%	0.3%	
3. Not Important	2	13.3%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	2	13.3%	0.3%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Very important	137	76.7%	21.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	18	10.1%	2.8%	
3. Not Important	6	3.1%	0.9%	
4. Don't know	18	10.1%	2.8%	
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Very important	82	80.8%	12.9%	
2. Somewhat Important	8	7.7%	1.3%	
3. Not Important	6	5.8%	0.9%	

	4. Don't know	6	5.8%	0.9%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very important	210	66.9%	33.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	66	21.0%	10.4%
	3. Not Important	18	5.6%	2.8%
	4. Don't know	20	6.5%	3.1%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very important	9	69.2%	1.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very important	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very important	5	83.3%	0.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

3. Jobs and economic development				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very important	18	75.0%	2.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	3	12.5%	0.5%
	3. Not Important	1	4.2%	0.2%

	4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very important	48	69.6%	7.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	13	18.8%	2.0%
	3. Not Important	4	5.8%	0.6%
	4. Don't know	4	5.8%	0.6%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very important	79	74.5%	12.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	15	14.2%	2.4%
	3. Not Important	5	4.7%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	7	6.6%	1.1%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	138	72.6%	21.7%
	2. Somewhat Important	33	17.4%	5.2%
	3. Not Important	6	3.2%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	13	6.8%	2.0%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very important	162	65.9%	25.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	45	18.3%	7.1%
	3. Not Important	16	6.5%	2.5%
	4. Don't know	23	9.3%	3.6%

3. Jobs and economic development				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very important	286	71.7%	45.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	60	15.0%	9.4%

	3. Not Important	19	4.8%	3.0%
	4. Don't know	34	8.5%	5.4%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very important	57	71.2%	9.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	16	20.0%	2.5%
	3. Not Important	1	1.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	6	7.5%	0.9%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very important	102	65.4%	16.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	33	21.2%	5.2%
	3. Not Important	12	7.7%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	9	5.8%	1.4%

4. Restoration and Protection of the Environment

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Very important	425		66.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	134		21.1%
	3. Not Important	46		7.2%
	4. Don't know	30		4.7%

4. Restoration and Protection of the Environment

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very important	173	67.1%	27.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	57	22.1%	9.0%
	3. Not Important	16	6.2%	2.5%
	4. Don't know	12	4.7%	1.9%

Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very important	248	67.0%	39.1%	
2. Somewhat Important	75	20.3%	11.8%	
3. Not Important	30	8.1%	4.7%	
4. Don't know	17	4.6%	2.7%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very important	4	57.1%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	2	28.6%	0.3%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%	

4. Restoration and Protection of the Environment

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
1. Very important	1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Very important	10	66.7%	1.6%
2. Somewhat Important	3	20.0%	0.5%
3. Not Important	2	13.3%	0.3%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Very important	128	71.3%	20.2%
2. Somewhat Important	31	17.1%	4.9%
3. Not Important	10	5.4%	1.6%

	4. Don't know	10	6.2%	1.6%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very important	75	73.5%	11.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	20	19.6%	3.1%
	3. Not Important	6	5.9%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	1	1.0%	0.2%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very important	204	65.0%	32.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	71	22.6%	11.2%
	3. Not Important	25	8.0%	3.9%
	4. Don't know	14	4.5%	2.2%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very important	7	53.8%	1.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	3	23.1%	0.5%
	3. Not Important	1	7.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very important	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very important	5	83.3%	0.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%

4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
---------------	---	------	------

4. Restoration and Protection of the Environment				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very important	17	70.8%	2.7%	
2. Somewhat Important	4	16.7%	0.6%	
3. Not Important	1	4.2%	0.2%	
4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%	
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very important	43	62.3%	6.8%	
2. Somewhat Important	16	23.2%	2.5%	
3. Not Important	7	10.1%	1.1%	
4. Don't know	3	4.3%	0.5%	
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very important	73	68.9%	11.5%	
2. Somewhat Important	22	20.8%	3.5%	
3. Not Important	6	5.7%	0.9%	
4. Don't know	5	4.7%	0.8%	
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Very important	140	73.7%	22.0%	
2. Somewhat Important	36	18.9%	5.7%	
3. Not Important	10	5.3%	1.6%	
4. Don't know	4	2.1%	0.6%	
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very important	152	61.8%	23.9%	
2. Somewhat Important	56	22.8%	8.8%	

	3. Not Important	22	8.9%	3.5%
	4. Don't know	16	6.5%	2.5%

4. Restoration and Protection of the Environment

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very important	296	74.2%	46.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	62	15.5%	9.8%
	3. Not Important	20	5.0%	3.1%
	4. Don't know	21	5.3%	3.3%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very important	61	76.2%	9.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	11	13.8%	1.7%
	3. Not Important	5	6.2%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	3	3.8%	0.5%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very important	68	43.6%	10.7%
	2. Somewhat Important	61	39.1%	9.6%
	3. Not Important	21	13.5%	3.3%
	4. Don't know	6	3.8%	0.9%

5. Traffic Congestion and Gridlock

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Very important	362	57.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	170	26.8%
	3. Not Important	63	9.9%
	4. Don't know	40	6.3%

5. Traffic Congestion and Gridlock				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very important		156	60.5%	24.6%
2. Somewhat Important		67	26.0%	10.6%
3. Not Important		23	8.9%	3.6%
4. Don't know		12	4.7%	1.9%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very important		202	54.6%	31.8%
2. Somewhat Important		102	27.6%	16.1%
3. Not Important		39	10.5%	6.1%
4. Don't know		27	7.3%	4.3%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very important		4	57.1%	0.6%
2. Somewhat Important		1	14.3%	0.2%
3. Not Important		1	14.3%	0.2%
4. Don't know		1	14.3%	0.2%

5. Traffic Congestion and Gridlock				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very important		1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Somewhat Important		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not Important		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		0	0.0%	0.0%

Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very important	13	86.7%	2.0%	
2. Somewhat Important	2	13.3%	0.3%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Very important	105	58.9%	16.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	36	20.2%	5.7%	
3. Not Important	18	10.1%	2.8%	
4. Don't know	20	10.9%	3.1%	
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Very important	59	57.8%	9.3%	
2. Somewhat Important	25	24.5%	3.9%	
3. Not Important	16	15.7%	2.5%	
4. Don't know	2	2.0%	0.3%	
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Very important	172	54.8%	27.1%	
2. Somewhat Important	95	30.4%	15.0%	
3. Not Important	30	9.4%	4.6%	
4. Don't know	17	5.3%	2.6%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. Very important	4	30.8%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	3	23.1%	0.5%	
3. Not Important	3	23.1%	0.5%	
4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%	

Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Very important	5	100.0%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very important	6	100.0%	0.9%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

5. Traffic Congestion and Gridlock				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very important	8	33.3%	1.3%	
2. Somewhat Important	11	45.8%	1.7%	
3. Not Important	2	8.3%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	3	12.5%	0.5%	
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very important	38	55.1%	6.0%	
2. Somewhat Important	18	26.1%	2.8%	
3. Not Important	11	15.9%	1.7%	
4. Don't know	2	2.9%	0.3%	
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very important	56	52.8%	8.8%	

	2. Somewhat Important	28	26.4%	4.4%
	3. Not Important	13	12.3%	2.0%
	4. Don't know	9	8.5%	1.4%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	113	59.5%	17.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	50	26.3%	7.9%
	3. Not Important	16	8.4%	2.5%
	4. Don't know	11	5.8%	1.7%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very important	147	59.8%	23.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	63	25.6%	9.9%
	3. Not Important	21	8.5%	3.3%
	4. Don't know	15	6.1%	2.4%

5. Traffic Congestion and Gridlock				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
	Party Labels			
	Democrat, Responses: 399			
	1. Very important	215	53.9%	33.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	112	28.1%	17.6%
	3. Not Important	40	10.0%	6.3%
	4. Don't know	32	8.0%	5.0%
	No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80			
	1. Very important	50	62.5%	7.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	20	25.0%	3.1%
	3. Not Important	6	7.5%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	4	5.0%	0.6%
	Republican, Responses: 156			

1. Very important	97	62.2%	15.3%
2. Somewhat Important	38	24.4%	6.0%
3. Not Important	17	10.9%	2.7%
4. Don't know	4	2.6%	0.6%

6. Services to help Low-Income families

Responses: 635	Total	Overall %
1. Very important	372	58.6%
2. Somewhat Important	166	26.1%
3. Not Important	48	7.6%
4. Don't know	49	7.7%

6. Services to help Low-Income families

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)			
Male, Responses: 258			
1. Very important	140	54.3%	22.0%
2. Somewhat Important	76	29.5%	12.0%
3. Not Important	19	7.4%	3.0%
4. Don't know	23	8.9%	3.6%
Female, Responses: 370			
1. Very important	227	61.4%	35.7%
2. Somewhat Important	90	24.3%	14.2%
3. Not Important	29	7.8%	4.6%
4. Don't know	24	6.5%	3.8%
Unknown, Responses: 7			
1. Very important	5	71.4%	0.8%
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

6. Services to help Low-Income families				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very important		1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Somewhat Important		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not Important		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very important		6	40.0%	0.9%
2. Somewhat Important		5	33.3%	0.8%
3. Not Important		3	20.0%	0.5%
4. Don't know		1	6.7%	0.2%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Very important		128	71.5%	20.2%
2. Somewhat Important		28	15.6%	4.4%
3. Not Important		11	6.1%	1.7%
4. Don't know		12	6.7%	1.9%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Very important		71	69.6%	11.2%
2. Somewhat Important		18	17.6%	2.8%
3. Not Important		2	2.0%	0.3%
4. Don't know		11	10.8%	1.7%

White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Very important	168	53.4%	26.4%	
2. Somewhat Important	96	30.7%	15.2%	
3. Not Important	26	8.2%	4.1%	
4. Don't know	24	7.7%	3.8%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. Very important	7	53.8%	1.1%	
2. Somewhat Important	4	30.8%	0.6%	
3. Not Important	1	7.7%	0.2%	
4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Very important	4	80.0%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	1	20.0%	0.2%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very important	5	83.3%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat Important	1	16.7%	0.2%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

6. Services to help Low-Income families			
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			
1. Very important	13	54.2%	2.0%

	2. Somewhat Important	7	29.2%	1.1%
	3. Not Important	2	8.3%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very important	47	68.1%	7.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	14	20.3%	2.2%
	3. Not Important	2	2.9%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	6	8.7%	0.9%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very important	66	62.3%	10.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	25	23.6%	3.9%
	3. Not Important	9	8.5%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	6	5.7%	0.9%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	112	58.9%	17.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	54	28.4%	8.5%
	3. Not Important	12	6.3%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	12	6.3%	1.9%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very important	134	54.5%	21.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	66	26.8%	10.4%
	3. Not Important	23	9.3%	3.6%
	4. Don't know	23	9.3%	3.6%

6. Services to help Low-Income families

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels			
Democrat, Responses: 399			

	1. Very important	261	65.4%	41.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	80	20.1%	12.6%
	3. Not Important	32	8.0%	5.0%
	4. Don't know	26	6.5%	4.1%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very important	48	60.0%	7.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	25	31.2%	3.9%
	3. Not Important	2	2.5%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	5	6.2%	0.8%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very important	63	40.4%	9.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	61	39.1%	9.6%
	3. Not Important	14	9.0%	2.2%
	4. Don't know	18	11.5%	2.8%

7. Homelessness				
Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Very important	404		63.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	150		23.6%
	3. Not Important	37		5.8%
	4. Don't know	44		6.9%

7. Homelessness				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very important	154	59.7%	24.3%
	2. Somewhat Important	68	26.4%	10.7%
	3. Not Important	13	5.0%	2.0%
	4. Don't know	23	8.9%	3.6%

Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very important	246	66.5%	38.7%	
2. Somewhat Important	80	21.6%	12.6%	
3. Not Important	24	6.5%	3.8%	
4. Don't know	20	5.4%	3.1%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very important	4	57.1%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	2	28.6%	0.3%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%	

7. Homelessness				
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very important	1	100.0%	0.2%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very important	6	40.0%	0.9%	
2. Somewhat Important	7	46.7%	1.1%	
3. Not Important	2	13.3%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Very important	128	71.3%	20.1%	
2. Somewhat Important	33	18.6%	5.2%	
3. Not Important	4	2.3%	0.6%	

	4. Don't know	14	7.8%	2.2%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very important	71	69.6%	11.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	14	13.7%	2.2%
	3. Not Important	5	4.9%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	12	11.8%	1.9%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very important	193	61.4%	30.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	81	25.8%	12.8%
	3. Not Important	20	6.5%	3.2%
	4. Don't know	20	6.3%	3.1%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very important	6	46.2%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	4	30.8%	0.6%
	3. Not Important	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very important	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very important	5	83.3%	0.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%

	4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

7. Homelessness				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very important	16	66.7%	2.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	3	12.5%	0.5%
	3. Not Important	2	8.3%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	3	12.5%	0.5%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very important	48	69.6%	7.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	15	21.7%	2.4%
	3. Not Important	3	4.3%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	3	4.3%	0.5%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very important	72	67.9%	11.3%
	2. Somewhat Important	22	20.8%	3.5%
	3. Not Important	6	5.7%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	6	5.7%	0.9%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	131	68.9%	20.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	37	19.5%	5.8%
	3. Not Important	9	4.7%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	13	6.8%	2.0%

65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very important	137	55.7%	21.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	73	29.7%	11.5%	
3. Not Important	17	6.9%	2.7%	
4. Don't know	19	7.7%	3.0%	

7. Homelessness				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very important	280	70.2%	44.1%	
2. Somewhat Important	83	20.8%	13.1%	
3. Not Important	16	4.0%	2.5%	
4. Don't know	20	5.0%	3.1%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very important	55	68.8%	8.7%	
2. Somewhat Important	15	18.8%	2.4%	
3. Not Important	3	3.8%	0.5%	
4. Don't know	7	8.8%	1.1%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very important	69	44.2%	10.9%	
2. Somewhat Important	52	33.3%	8.2%	
3. Not Important	18	11.5%	2.8%	
4. Don't know	17	10.9%	2.7%	

8. Affordable Housing				
Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
1. Very important	364			57.3%
2. Somewhat Important	171			26.9%
3. Not Important	64			10.1%

4. Don't know	36	5.7%
---------------	----	------

8. Affordable Housing			
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)			
Male, Responses: 258			
1. Very important	149	57.8%	23.5%
2. Somewhat Important	68	26.4%	10.7%
3. Not Important	31	12.0%	4.9%
4. Don't know	10	3.9%	1.6%
Female, Responses: 370			
1. Very important	209	56.5%	32.9%
2. Somewhat Important	103	27.8%	16.2%
3. Not Important	33	8.9%	5.2%
4. Don't know	25	6.8%	3.9%
Unknown, Responses: 7			
1. Very important	6	85.7%	0.9%
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%

8. Affordable Housing			
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
1. Very important	1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%

4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Very important	5	33.3%	0.8%
2. Somewhat Important	6	40.0%	0.9%
3. Not Important	4	26.7%	0.6%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Very important	111	62.0%	17.5%
2. Somewhat Important	44	24.8%	7.0%
3. Not Important	20	10.9%	3.1%
4. Don't know	4	2.3%	0.6%
Hispanic, Responses: 102			
1. Very important	57	55.8%	9.0%
2. Somewhat Important	31	30.8%	4.9%
3. Not Important	4	3.8%	0.6%
4. Don't know	10	9.6%	1.5%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314			
1. Very important	179	57.0%	28.2%
2. Somewhat Important	84	26.8%	13.3%
3. Not Important	32	10.1%	5.0%
4. Don't know	19	6.0%	3.0%
Other, Responses: 13			
1. Very important	4	30.8%	0.6%
2. Somewhat Important	4	30.8%	0.6%
3. Not Important	2	15.4%	0.3%
4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%

Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Very important	5	100.0%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very important	4	66.7%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	2	33.3%	0.3%	
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

8. Affordable Housing				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very important	15	62.5%	2.4%	
2. Somewhat Important	7	29.2%	1.1%	
3. Not Important	2	8.3%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very important	40	58.0%	6.3%	
2. Somewhat Important	16	23.2%	2.5%	
3. Not Important	10	14.5%	1.6%	
4. Don't know	3	4.3%	0.5%	
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very important	61	57.5%	9.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	26	24.5%	4.1%	

	3. Not Important	12	11.3%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	7	6.6%	1.1%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	103	54.2%	16.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	64	33.7%	10.1%
	3. Not Important	17	8.9%	2.7%
	4. Don't know	6	3.2%	0.9%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very important	145	58.9%	22.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	58	23.6%	9.1%
	3. Not Important	23	9.3%	3.6%
	4. Don't know	20	8.1%	3.1%

8. Affordable Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very important	253	63.4%	39.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	91	22.8%	14.3%
	3. Not Important	38	9.5%	6.0%
	4. Don't know	17	4.3%	2.7%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very important	46	57.5%	7.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	24	30.0%	3.8%
	3. Not Important	6	7.5%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	4	5.0%	0.6%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very important	65	41.7%	10.2%

	2. Somewhat Important	56	35.9%	8.8%
	3. Not Important	20	12.8%	3.1%
	4. Don't know	15	9.6%	2.4%

9. Ensuring the appropriate mix of Housing

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Very important	268		42.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	201		31.7%
	3. Not Important	66		10.4%
	4. Don't know	100		15.7%

9. Ensuring the appropriate mix of Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very important	107	41.5%	16.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	90	34.9%	14.2%
	3. Not Important	26	10.1%	4.1%
	4. Don't know	35	13.6%	5.5%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very important	159	43.0%	25.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	110	29.7%	17.3%
	3. Not Important	38	10.3%	6.0%
	4. Don't know	63	17.0%	9.9%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Very important	2	28.6%	0.3%
	2. Somewhat Important	1	14.3%	0.2%
	3. Not Important	2	28.6%	0.3%

4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%
---------------	---	-------	------

9. Ensuring the appropriate mix of Housing			
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
1. Very important	0	0.0%	0.0%
2. Somewhat Important	1	100.0%	0.2%
3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Very important	7	46.7%	1.1%
2. Somewhat Important	5	33.3%	0.8%
3. Not Important	3	20.0%	0.5%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Very important	103	57.5%	16.2%
2. Somewhat Important	42	23.5%	6.6%
3. Not Important	10	5.6%	1.6%
4. Don't know	24	13.4%	3.8%
Hispanic, Responses: 102			
1. Very important	55	53.8%	8.6%
2. Somewhat Important	27	26.9%	4.3%
3. Not Important	10	9.6%	1.5%

	4. Don't know	10	9.6%	1.5%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very important	112	35.7%	17.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	109	34.7%	17.2%
	3. Not Important	37	11.8%	5.8%
	4. Don't know	56	17.8%	8.8%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very important	3	23.1%	0.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	5	38.5%	0.8%
	3. Not Important	1	7.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	4	30.8%	0.6%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very important	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not Important	1	20.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very important	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat Important	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

9. Ensuring the appropriate mix of Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very important	8	33.3%	1.3%

	2. Somewhat Important	9	37.5%	1.4%
	3. Not Important	1	4.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	6	25.0%	0.9%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very important	35	50.7%	5.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	13	18.8%	2.0%
	3. Not Important	9	13.0%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	12	17.4%	1.9%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very important	43	40.6%	6.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	37	34.9%	5.8%
	3. Not Important	7	6.6%	1.1%
	4. Don't know	19	17.9%	3.0%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	86	45.3%	13.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	61	32.1%	9.6%
	3. Not Important	24	12.6%	3.8%
	4. Don't know	19	10.0%	3.0%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very important	96	39.0%	15.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	81	32.9%	12.8%
	3. Not Important	25	10.2%	3.9%
	4. Don't know	44	17.9%	6.9%

9. Ensuring the appropriate mix of Housing

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels			

Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very important	185	46.4%	29.1%	
2. Somewhat Important	131	32.8%	20.6%	
3. Not Important	27	6.8%	4.3%	
4. Don't know	56	14.0%	8.8%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very important	35	43.8%	5.5%	
2. Somewhat Important	31	38.8%	4.9%	
3. Not Important	5	6.2%	0.8%	
4. Don't know	9	11.2%	1.4%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very important	48	30.8%	7.6%	
2. Somewhat Important	39	25.0%	6.1%	
3. Not Important	34	21.8%	5.4%	
4. Don't know	35	22.4%	5.5%	

10. Cost of Housing			
Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very important		360	56.7%
2. Somewhat Important		173	27.2%
3. Not Important		54	8.5%
4. Don't know		48	7.6%

10. Cost of Housing				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very important		149	57.8%	23.5%
2. Somewhat Important		70	27.1%	11.0%
3. Not Important		23	8.9%	3.6%

	4. Don't know	16	6.2%	2.5%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very important	208	56.2%	32.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	100	27.0%	15.7%
	3. Not Important	31	8.4%	4.9%
	4. Don't know	31	8.4%	4.9%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Very important	3	42.9%	0.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	3	42.9%	0.5%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%

10. Cost of Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Very important	1	100.0%	0.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Very important	7	46.7%	1.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	4	26.7%	0.6%
	3. Not Important	4	26.7%	0.6%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very important	121	67.6%	19.1%
	2. Somewhat Important	30	16.8%	4.7%

	3. Not Important	14	7.8%	2.2%
	4. Don't know	14	7.8%	2.2%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very important	65	63.7%	10.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	21	20.6%	3.3%
	3. Not Important	6	5.9%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	10	9.8%	1.6%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very important	163	51.9%	25.7%
	2. Somewhat Important	99	31.4%	15.6%
	3. Not Important	28	8.9%	4.4%
	4. Don't know	24	7.7%	3.8%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very important	6	46.2%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	6	46.2%	0.9%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very important	5	100.0%	0.8%
	2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very important	6	100.0%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat Important	0	0.0%	0.0%

	3. Not Important	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

10. Cost of Housing				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very important	14	58.3%	2.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	5	20.8%	0.8%
	3. Not Important	1	4.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	4	16.7%	0.6%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very important	47	68.1%	7.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	15	21.7%	2.4%
	3. Not Important	3	4.3%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	4	5.8%	0.6%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very important	57	53.8%	9.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	27	25.5%	4.3%
	3. Not Important	11	10.4%	1.7%
	4. Don't know	11	10.4%	1.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very important	117	61.6%	18.4%
	2. Somewhat Important	48	25.3%	7.6%
	3. Not Important	15	7.9%	2.4%

	4. Don't know	10	5.3%	1.6%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very important	125	50.8%	19.7%
	2. Somewhat Important	78	31.7%	12.3%
	3. Not Important	24	9.8%	3.8%
	4. Don't know	19	7.7%	3.0%

10. Cost of Housing				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very important	232	58.1%	36.5%
	2. Somewhat Important	100	25.1%	15.7%
	3. Not Important	35	8.8%	5.5%
	4. Don't know	32	8.0%	5.0%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very important	57	71.2%	9.0%
	2. Somewhat Important	18	22.5%	2.8%
	3. Not Important	3	3.8%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	2	2.5%	0.3%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very important	71	45.5%	11.2%
	2. Somewhat Important	55	35.3%	8.7%
	3. Not Important	16	10.3%	2.5%
	4. Don't know	14	9.0%	2.2%

11. Do you Support or Oppose how Governor Ron DeSantis has handled the Coronavirus Pandemic?				
Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Strongly support	155		24.4%

	2. Somewhat support	87		13.7%
	3. Somewhat oppose	86		13.5%
	4. Strongly oppose	262		41.3%
	5. Don't know	45		7.1%

11. Do you Support or Oppose how Governor Ron DeSantis has handled the Coronavirus Pandemic?				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Strongly support	69	26.7%	10.9%
	2. Somewhat support	25	9.7%	3.9%
	3. Somewhat oppose	32	12.4%	5.0%
	4. Strongly oppose	113	43.8%	17.8%
	5. Don't know	19	7.4%	3.0%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Strongly support	85	23.0%	13.4%
	2. Somewhat support	60	16.2%	9.4%
	3. Somewhat oppose	53	14.3%	8.3%
	4. Strongly oppose	147	39.7%	23.1%
	5. Don't know	25	6.8%	3.9%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Strongly support	1	14.3%	0.2%
	2. Somewhat support	2	28.6%	0.3%
	3. Somewhat oppose	1	14.3%	0.2%
	4. Strongly oppose	2	28.6%	0.3%
	5. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%

11. Do you Support or Oppose how Governor Ron DeSantis has handled the Coronavirus Pandemic?				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %

Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Strongly support	0	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Somewhat support	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Somewhat oppose	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Strongly oppose	1	100.0%	0.2%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Strongly support	3	20.0%	0.5%	
2. Somewhat support	1	6.7%	0.2%	
3. Somewhat oppose	2	13.3%	0.3%	
4. Strongly oppose	6	40.0%	0.9%	
5. Don't know	3	20.0%	0.5%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Strongly support	15	8.5%	2.4%	
2. Somewhat support	26	14.7%	4.1%	
3. Somewhat oppose	35	19.4%	5.5%	
4. Strongly oppose	83	46.5%	13.1%	
5. Don't know	20	10.9%	3.1%	
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Strongly support	33	32.7%	5.3%	
2. Somewhat support	16	15.4%	2.5%	
3. Somewhat oppose	14	13.5%	2.2%	
4. Strongly oppose	33	32.7%	5.3%	
5. Don't know	6	5.8%	0.9%	
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Strongly support	90	28.7%	14.2%	

	2. Somewhat support	43	13.8%	6.8%
	3. Somewhat oppose	36	11.6%	5.7%
	4. Strongly oppose	127	40.3%	19.9%
	5. Don't know	18	5.6%	2.8%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Strongly support	4	30.8%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat support	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Somewhat oppose	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Strongly oppose	4	30.8%	0.6%
	5. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Strongly support	0	0.0%	0.0%
	2. Somewhat support	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Somewhat oppose	1	20.0%	0.2%
	4. Strongly oppose	4	80.0%	0.6%
	5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Strongly support	1	16.7%	0.2%
	2. Somewhat support	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Somewhat oppose	1	16.7%	0.2%
	4. Strongly oppose	3	50.0%	0.5%
	5. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

11. Do you Support or Oppose how Governor Ron DeSantis has handled the Coronavirus Pandemic?

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Strongly support	4	16.7%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat support	2	8.3%	0.3%

	3. Somewhat oppose	4	16.7%	0.6%
	4. Strongly oppose	13	54.2%	2.0%
	5. Don't know	1	4.2%	0.2%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Strongly support	17	24.6%	2.7%
	2. Somewhat support	5	7.2%	0.8%
	3. Somewhat oppose	9	13.0%	1.4%
	4. Strongly oppose	31	44.9%	4.9%
	5. Don't know	7	10.1%	1.1%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Strongly support	27	25.5%	4.3%
	2. Somewhat support	15	14.2%	2.4%
	3. Somewhat oppose	17	16.0%	2.7%
	4. Strongly oppose	40	37.7%	6.3%
	5. Don't know	7	6.6%	1.1%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Strongly support	47	24.7%	7.4%
	2. Somewhat support	24	12.6%	3.8%
	3. Somewhat oppose	18	9.5%	2.8%
	4. Strongly oppose	91	47.9%	14.3%
	5. Don't know	10	5.3%	1.6%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Strongly support	60	24.4%	9.4%
	2. Somewhat support	41	16.7%	6.5%
	3. Somewhat oppose	38	15.4%	6.0%
	4. Strongly oppose	87	35.4%	13.7%

5. Don't know	20	8.1%	3.1%
---------------	----	------	------

11. Do you Support or Oppose how Governor Ron DeSantis has handled the Coronavirus Pandemic?			
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels			
Democrat, Responses: 399			
1. Strongly support	35	8.8%	5.5%
2. Somewhat support	60	15.0%	9.4%
3. Somewhat oppose	64	16.0%	10.1%
4. Strongly oppose	212	53.1%	33.4%
5. Don't know	28	7.0%	4.4%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80			
1. Strongly support	24	30.0%	3.8%
2. Somewhat support	7	8.8%	1.1%
3. Somewhat oppose	10	12.5%	1.6%
4. Strongly oppose	29	36.2%	4.6%
5. Don't know	10	12.5%	1.6%
Republican, Responses: 156			
1. Strongly support	96	61.5%	15.1%
2. Somewhat support	20	12.8%	3.1%
3. Somewhat oppose	12	7.7%	1.9%
4. Strongly oppose	21	13.5%	3.3%
5. Don't know	7	4.5%	1.1%

12. In 2022, there may be a ballot issue asking Broward County voters to approve a change to the County’s Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million in 2020 dollars, to be used solely for affordable housing projects. Would you be likely to vote for or against this?

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. For		354	55.7%
2. Against		153	24.1%
3. Don’t know		128	20.2%

12. In 2022, there may be a ballot issue asking Broward County voters to approve a change to the County’s Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million in 2020 dollars, to be used solely for affordable housing projects. Would you be likely to vote for or against this?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. For		157	60.9%	24.7%
2. Against		63	24.4%	9.9%
3. Don’t know		38	14.7%	6.0%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. For		192	51.9%	30.2%
2. Against		89	24.1%	14.0%
3. Don’t know		89	24.1%	14.0%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. For		5	71.4%	0.8%
2. Against		1	14.3%	0.2%
3. Don’t know		1	14.3%	0.2%

12. In 2022, there may be a ballot issue asking Broward County voters to approve a change to the County’s Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million in 2020 dollars, to be used solely for affordable housing projects. Would you be likely to vote for or against this?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. For		1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Against		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Don't know		0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. For		7	46.7%	1.1%
2. Against		4	26.7%	0.6%
3. Don't know		4	26.7%	0.6%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. For		114	63.6%	17.9%
2. Against		22	12.4%	3.5%
3. Don't know		43	24.0%	6.8%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. For		61	59.6%	9.6%
2. Against		23	23.1%	3.6%
3. Don't know		18	17.3%	2.8%

	White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314			
	1. For	166	52.9%	26.2%
	2. Against	88	28.0%	13.8%
	3. Don't know	60	19.1%	9.4%
	Other, Responses: 13			
	1. For	6	46.2%	0.9%
	2. Against	4	30.8%	0.6%
	3. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%
	Multi-racial, Responses: 5			
	1. For	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Against	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
	Unknown, Responses: 6			
	1. For	5	83.3%	0.8%
	2. Against	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

12. In 2022, there may be a ballot issue asking Broward County voters to approve a change to the County's Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million in 2020 dollars, to be used solely for affordable housing projects. Would you be likely to vote for or against this?

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. For	18	75.0%	2.8%
	2. Against	4	16.7%	0.6%
	3. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				

	1. For	40	58.0%	6.3%
	2. Against	16	23.2%	2.5%
	3. Don't know	13	18.8%	2.0%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. For	57	53.8%	9.0%
	2. Against	26	24.5%	4.1%
	3. Don't know	23	21.7%	3.6%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. For	115	60.5%	18.1%
	2. Against	44	23.2%	6.9%
	3. Don't know	31	16.3%	4.9%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. For	124	50.4%	19.5%
	2. Against	63	25.6%	9.9%
	3. Don't know	59	24.0%	9.3%

12. In 2022, there may be a ballot issue asking Broward County voters to approve a change to the County's Charter to require the County Commission to fund the existing Affordable Housing Trust Fund with .2 mills each year, or approximately 30 Million in 2020 dollars, to be used solely for affordable housing projects. Would you be likely to vote for or against this?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. For	254	63.7%	40.0%
	2. Against	72	18.0%	11.3%
	3. Don't know	73	18.3%	11.5%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. For	46	57.5%	7.2%
	2. Against	14	17.5%	2.2%

	3. Don't know	20	25.0%	3.1%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. For	54	34.6%	8.5%
	2. Against	67	42.9%	10.6%
	3. Don't know	35	22.4%	5.5%

13. And are you strongly [FOR/AGAINST] this or just somewhat [FOR/AGAINST]?

Responses: 507		Total		Overall %
	1. Strongly for	267		52.6%
	2. Somewhat for	136		27.1%
	3. Somewhat against	46		9.0%
	4. Strongly against	49		9.6%
	5. Don't know	9		1.7%

13. And are you strongly [FOR/AGAINST] this or just somewhat [FOR/AGAINST]?

Responses: 507		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 220				
	1. Strongly for	111	50.5%	21.9%
	2. Somewhat for	63	28.6%	12.4%
	3. Somewhat against	20	9.1%	3.9%
	4. Strongly against	24	10.9%	4.7%
	5. Don't know	2	0.9%	0.4%
Female, Responses: 281				
	1. Strongly for	144	51.2%	28.4%
	2. Somewhat for	78	27.8%	15.4%
	3. Somewhat against	25	8.9%	4.9%
	4. Strongly against	28	10.0%	5.5%
	5. Don't know	6	2.1%	1.2%

Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Strongly for	5	83.3%	1.0%	
2. Somewhat for	1	16.7%	0.2%	
3. Somewhat against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

13. And are you strongly [FOR/AGAINST] this or just somewhat [FOR/AGAINST]?

Responses:507	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Strongly for	1	100.0%	0.2%	
2. Somewhat for	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Somewhat against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 12				
1. Strongly for	3	25.0%	0.6%	
2. Somewhat for	6	50.0%	1.2%	
3. Somewhat against	3	25.0%	0.6%	
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 136				
1. Strongly for	63	46.3%	12.4%	
2. Somewhat for	44	32.4%	8.7%	
3. Somewhat against	8	5.9%	1.6%	
4. Strongly against	21	15.4%	4.1%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

Hispanic, Responses: 84				
1. Strongly for	57	67.9%	11.2%	
2. Somewhat for	15	17.9%	3.0%	
3. Somewhat against	6	7.1%	1.2%	
4. Strongly against	6	7.1%	1.2%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 254				
1. Strongly for	120	47.3%	23.7%	
2. Somewhat for	73	28.7%	14.4%	
3. Somewhat against	26	10.4%	5.2%	
4. Strongly against	31	12.1%	6.1%	
5. Don't know	4	1.4%	0.7%	
Other, Responses: 10				
1. Strongly for	5	50.0%	1.0%	
2. Somewhat for	5	50.0%	1.0%	
3. Somewhat against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 4				
1. Strongly for	4	100.0%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat for	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Somewhat against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Unknown, Responses: 5				

1. Strongly for	4	66.7%	0.6%
2. Somewhat for	1	33.3%	0.3%
3. Somewhat against	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

13. And are you strongly [FOR/AGAINST] this or just somewhat [FOR/AGAINST]?

Responses: 507	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 22			
1. Strongly for	11	50.0%	2.2%
2. Somewhat for	11	50.0%	2.2%
3. Somewhat against	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Strongly against	0	0.0%	0.0%
5. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 56			
1. Strongly for	24	42.9%	4.7%
2. Somewhat for	19	33.9%	3.7%
3. Somewhat against	5	8.9%	1.0%
4. Strongly against	7	12.5%	1.4%
5. Don't know	1	1.8%	0.2%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 83			
1. Strongly for	42	50.9%	8.3%
2. Somewhat for	27	33.0%	5.3%
3. Somewhat against	7	8.5%	1.4%
4. Strongly against	5	5.7%	1.0%
5. Don't know	2	1.9%	0.4%

45 to 64 “Boomers”, Responses: 159				
1. Strongly for	87	54.7%	17.2%	
2. Somewhat for	34	21.4%	6.7%	
3. Somewhat against	19	11.9%	3.7%	
4. Strongly against	14	8.8%	2.8%	
5. Don’t know	5	3.1%	1.0%	
65+ “Traditionalist”, Responses: 187				
1. Strongly for	99	52.9%	19.5%	
2. Somewhat for	51	27.3%	10.0%	
3. Somewhat against	14	7.5%	2.8%	
4. Strongly against	21	11.2%	4.1%	
5. Don’t know	2	1.1%	0.4%	

13. And are you strongly [FOR/AGAINST] this or just somewhat [FOR/AGAINST]?

Responses 507		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 326				
1. Strongly for	185	56.9%	36.6%	
2. Somewhat for	91	27.8%	17.9%	
3. Somewhat against	24	7.5%	4.8%	
4. Strongly against	20	6.0%	3.9%	
5. Don’t know	6	1.8%	1.2%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 60				
1. Strongly for	32	52.5%	6.3%	
2. Somewhat for	17	28.7%	3.4%	
3. Somewhat against	7	11.2%	1.4%	
4. Strongly against	2	3.8%	0.4%	
5. Don’t know	2	3.8%	0.4%	

Republican, Responses: 121				
1. Strongly for	50	41.7%	9.9%	
2. Somewhat for	30	24.4%	5.9%	
3. Somewhat against	14	11.5%	2.8%	
4. Strongly against	26	21.8%	5.1%	
5. Don't know	1	0.6%	0.2%	

14. Build workforce housing for teachers, police officers and front-line medical workers

Responses: 635				
	Total		Overall %	
1. Definitely worth	283		44.6%	
2. Probably worth	150		23.6%	
3. Not worth	145		22.8%	
4. Don't know	57		9.0%	

14. Build workforce housing for teachers, police officers and front-line medical workers

Responses: 635				
	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Definitely worth	110	42.6%	17.3%	
2. Probably worth	66	25.6%	10.4%	
3. Not worth	60	23.3%	9.4%	
4. Don't know	22	8.5%	3.5%	
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Definitely worth	171	46.2%	26.9%	
2. Probably worth	80	21.6%	12.6%	
3. Not worth	84	22.7%	13.2%	
4. Don't know	35	9.5%	5.5%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Definitely worth	2	28.6%	0.3%	
2. Probably worth	4	57.1%	0.6%	

	3. Not worth	1	14.3%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

14. Build workforce housing for teachers, police officers and front-line medical workers

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
	Race (FDoS)			
	American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
	1. Definitely worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	1	100.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
	1. Definitely worth	10	66.7%	1.6%
	2. Probably worth	2	13.3%	0.3%
	3. Not worth	3	20.0%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
	Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
	1. Definitely worth	87	48.6%	13.7%
	2. Probably worth	44	24.6%	6.9%
	3. Not worth	30	16.8%	4.7%
	4. Don't know	18	10.1%	2.8%
	Hispanic, Responses: 102			
	1. Definitely worth	51	50.0%	8.0%
	2. Probably worth	24	23.5%	3.8%
	3. Not worth	20	19.6%	3.1%
	4. Don't know	7	6.9%	1.1%

	White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314			
	1. Definitely worth	130	41.5%	20.5%
	2. Probably worth	73	23.2%	11.5%
	3. Not worth	82	26.1%	12.9%
	4. Don't know	29	9.2%	4.5%
	Other, Responses: 13			
	1. Definitely worth	5	38.5%	0.8%
	2. Probably worth	6	46.2%	0.9%
	3. Not worth	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
	Multi-racial, Responses: 5			
	1. Definitely worth	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Probably worth	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
	Unknown, Responses: 6			
	1. Definitely worth	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Probably worth	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

14. Build workforce housing for teachers, police officers and front-line medical workers

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
	Age (Generational)			
	21 and Under, Responses: 24			
	1. Definitely worth	13	54.2%	2.0%

	2. Probably worth	6	25.0%	0.9%
	3. Not worth	3	12.5%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Definitely worth	32	46.4%	5.0%
	2. Probably worth	18	26.1%	2.8%
	3. Not worth	11	15.9%	1.7%
	4. Don't know	8	11.6%	1.3%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Definitely worth	49	46.2%	7.7%
	2. Probably worth	23	21.7%	3.6%
	3. Not worth	23	21.7%	3.6%
	4. Don't know	11	10.4%	1.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Definitely worth	77	40.5%	12.1%
	2. Probably worth	49	25.8%	7.7%
	3. Not worth	47	24.7%	7.4%
	4. Don't know	17	8.9%	2.7%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Definitely worth	112	45.5%	17.6%
	2. Probably worth	54	22.0%	8.5%
	3. Not worth	61	24.8%	9.6%
	4. Don't know	19	7.7%	3.0%

14. Build workforce housing for teachers, police officers and front-line medical workers

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels			
Democrat, Responses: 399			

	1. Definitely worth	195	48.9%	30.7%
	2. Probably worth	87	21.8%	13.7%
	3. Not worth	78	19.5%	12.3%
	4. Don't know	39	9.8%	6.1%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Definitely worth	33	41.2%	5.2%
	2. Probably worth	27	33.8%	4.3%
	3. Not worth	14	17.5%	2.2%
	4. Don't know	6	7.5%	0.9%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Definitely worth	55	35.3%	8.7%
	2. Probably worth	36	23.1%	5.7%
	3. Not worth	53	34.0%	8.3%
	4. Don't know	12	7.7%	1.9%

15. Build Housing for very low income residents

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Definitely worth	314		49.4%
	2. Probably worth	205		32.3%
	3. Not worth	81		12.8%
	4. Don't know	35		5.5%

15. Build Housing for very low income residents

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Definitely worth	124	48.1%	19.5%
	2. Probably worth	82	31.8%	12.9%
	3. Not worth	41	15.9%	6.5%
	4. Don't know	11	4.3%	1.7%

Female, Responses: 370				
1. Definitely worth	187	50.5%	29.4%	
2. Probably worth	119	32.2%	18.7%	
3. Not worth	40	10.8%	6.3%	
4. Don't know	24	6.5%	3.8%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Definitely worth	3	42.9%	0.5%	
2. Probably worth	4	57.1%	0.6%	
3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

15. Build Housing for very low income residents				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FdoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Definitely worth	0	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Probably worth	1	100.0%	0.2%	
3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Definitely worth	8	53.3%	1.3%	
2. Probably worth	5	33.3%	0.8%	
3. Not worth	2	13.3%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Definitely worth	112	62.8%	17.7%	
2. Probably worth	46	25.6%	7.2%	
3. Not worth	11	6.2%	1.7%	

	4. Don't know	10	5.4%	1.5%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Definitely worth	45	44.2%	7.1%
	2. Probably worth	39	38.5%	6.2%
	3. Not worth	10	9.6%	1.5%
	4. Don't know	8	7.7%	1.2%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Definitely worth	143	45.5%	22.5%
	2. Probably worth	107	34.1%	16.9%
	3. Not worth	47	15.0%	7.4%
	4. Don't know	17	5.4%	2.7%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Definitely worth	5	38.5%	0.8%
	2. Probably worth	5	38.5%	0.8%
	3. Not worth	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Definitely worth	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	2	40.0%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Definitely worth	6	100.0%	0.9%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%

	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
--	---------------	---	------	------

15. Build Housing for very low income residents				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Definitely worth	16	66.7%	2.5%
	2. Probably worth	7	29.2%	1.1%
	3. Not worth	1	4.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Definitely worth	39	56.5%	6.1%
	2. Probably worth	20	29.0%	3.1%
	3. Not worth	8	11.6%	1.3%
	4. Don't know	2	2.9%	0.3%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Definitely worth	59	55.7%	9.3%
	2. Probably worth	29	27.4%	4.6%
	3. Not worth	13	12.3%	2.0%
	4. Don't know	5	4.7%	0.8%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Definitely worth	89	46.8%	14.0%
	2. Probably worth	66	34.7%	10.4%

	3. Not worth	25	13.2%	3.9%
	4. Don't know	10	5.3%	1.6%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Definitely worth	111	45.1%	17.5%
	2. Probably worth	83	33.7%	13.1%
	3. Not worth	34	13.8%	5.4%
	4. Don't know	18	7.3%	2.8%

15. Build Housing for very low income residents				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Definitely worth	228	57.1%	35.9%
	2. Probably worth	122	30.6%	19.2%
	3. Not worth	31	7.8%	4.9%
	4. Don't know	18	4.5%	2.8%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Definitely worth	38	47.5%	6.0%
	2. Probably worth	28	35.0%	4.4%
	3. Not worth	12	15.0%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	2	2.5%	0.3%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Definitely worth	48	30.8%	7.6%
	2. Probably worth	55	35.3%	8.7%
	3. Not worth	38	24.4%	6.0%
	4. Don't know	15	9.6%	2.4%

16. Provide subsidies for private developers to build Affordable Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Definitely worth		188	29.6%
2. Probably worth		203	32.0%
3. Not worth		171	26.9%
4. Don't know		73	11.5%

16. Provide subsidies for private developers to build Affordable Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Definitely worth		75	29.1%	11.8%
2. Probably worth		87	33.7%	13.7%
3. Not worth		70	27.1%	11.0%
4. Don't know		26	10.1%	4.1%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Definitely worth		112	30.3%	17.6%
2. Probably worth		111	30.0%	17.5%
3. Not worth		100	27.0%	15.7%
4. Don't know		47	12.7%	7.4%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Definitely worth		1	14.3%	0.2%
2. Probably worth		5	71.4%	0.8%
3. Not worth		1	14.3%	0.2%
4. Don't know		0	0.0%	0.0%

16. Provide subsidies for private developers to build Affordable Housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				

	1. Definitely worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	1	100.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Definitely worth	3	20.0%	0.5%
	2. Probably worth	6	40.0%	0.9%
	3. Not worth	6	40.0%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Definitely worth	60	33.5%	9.4%
	2. Probably worth	61	34.1%	9.6%
	3. Not worth	34	19.0%	5.4%
	4. Don't know	24	13.4%	3.8%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Definitely worth	37	36.3%	5.8%
	2. Probably worth	26	25.5%	4.1%
	3. Not worth	26	25.5%	4.1%
	4. Don't know	13	12.7%	2.0%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Definitely worth	87	27.8%	13.7%
	2. Probably worth	99	31.6%	15.6%
	3. Not worth	92	29.2%	14.4%
	4. Don't know	36	11.4%	5.6%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Definitely worth	4	30.8%	0.6%

	2. Probably worth	5	38.5%	0.8%
	3. Not worth	3	23.1%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Definitely worth	1	20.0%	0.2%
	2. Probably worth	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not worth	2	40.0%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Definitely worth	3	50.0%	0.5%
	2. Probably worth	3	50.0%	0.5%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

16. Provide subsidies for private developers to build Affordable Housing

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			
	11	45.8%	1.7%
	5	20.8%	0.8%
	7	29.2%	1.1%
	1	4.2%	0.2%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69			
	21	30.4%	3.3%
	28	40.6%	4.4%
	14	20.3%	2.2%
	6	8.7%	0.9%

35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Definitely worth		31	29.2%	4.9%
2. Probably worth		38	35.8%	6.0%
3. Not worth		26	24.5%	4.1%
4. Don't know		11	10.4%	1.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Definitely worth		55	28.9%	8.7%
2. Probably worth		56	29.5%	8.8%
3. Not worth		53	27.9%	8.3%
4. Don't know		26	13.7%	4.1%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Definitely worth		70	28.5%	11.0%
2. Probably worth		76	30.9%	12.0%
3. Not worth		71	28.9%	11.2%
4. Don't know		29	11.8%	4.6%

16. Provide subsidies for private developers to build Affordable Housing				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Definitely worth		130	32.6%	20.5%
2. Probably worth		132	33.1%	20.8%
3. Not worth		96	24.1%	15.1%
4. Don't know		41	10.3%	6.5%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Definitely worth		23	28.7%	3.6%
2. Probably worth		26	32.5%	4.1%
3. Not worth		19	23.8%	3.0%
4. Don't know		12	15.0%	1.9%

Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Definitely worth	35	22.4%	5.5%	
2. Probably worth	45	28.8%	7.1%	
3. Not worth	56	35.9%	8.8%	
4. Don't know	20	12.8%	3.1%	

17. Provide rent subsidies for families meeting income limitations.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
1. Definitely worth	296			46.6%
2. Probably worth	188			29.6%
3. Not worth	86			13.5%
4. Don't know	65			10.2%

17. Provide rent subsidies for families meeting income limitations.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Definitely worth	119	46.1%	18.7%	
2. Probably worth	75	29.1%	11.8%	
3. Not worth	44	17.1%	6.9%	
4. Don't know	20	7.8%	3.1%	
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Definitely worth	174	47.0%	27.4%	
2. Probably worth	111	30.0%	17.5%	
3. Not worth	41	11.1%	6.5%	
4. Don't know	44	11.9%	6.9%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				

1. Definitely worth	3	42.9%	0.5%
2. Probably worth	2	28.6%	0.3%
3. Not worth	1	14.3%	0.2%
4. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%

17. Provide rent subsidies for families meeting income limitations.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
1. Definitely worth	1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Definitely worth	7	46.7%	1.1%
2. Probably worth	4	26.7%	0.6%
3. Not worth	1	6.7%	0.2%
4. Don't know	3	20.0%	0.5%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Definitely worth	114	63.6%	17.9%
2. Probably worth	36	20.2%	5.7%
3. Not worth	14	7.8%	2.2%
4. Don't know	15	8.5%	2.4%
Hispanic, Responses: 102			
1. Definitely worth	55	53.9%	8.7%
2. Probably worth	25	24.5%	3.9%

	3. Not worth	10	9.8%	1.6%
	4. Don't know	12	11.8%	1.9%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Definitely worth	123	39.2%	19.4%
	2. Probably worth	106	33.8%	16.7%
	3. Not worth	52	16.6%	8.2%
	4. Don't know	33	10.5%	5.2%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Definitely worth	8	61.5%	1.3%
	2. Probably worth	4	30.8%	0.6%
	3. Not worth	1	7.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Definitely worth	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	1	20.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Definitely worth	5	83.3%	0.8%
	2. Probably worth	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

17. Provide rent subsidies for families meeting income limitations.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				

	1. Definitely worth	17	70.8%	2.7%
	2. Probably worth	5	20.8%	0.8%
	3. Not worth	1	4.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	1	4.2%	0.2%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Definitely worth	40	58.0%	6.3%
	2. Probably worth	10	14.5%	1.6%
	3. Not worth	12	17.4%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	7	10.1%	1.1%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Definitely worth	54	50.9%	8.5%
	2. Probably worth	30	28.3%	4.7%
	3. Not worth	13	12.3%	2.0%
	4. Don't know	9	8.5%	1.4%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Definitely worth	93	48.9%	14.6%
	2. Probably worth	54	28.4%	8.5%
	3. Not worth	25	13.2%	3.9%
	4. Don't know	18	9.5%	2.8%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Definitely worth	92	37.4%	14.5%
	2. Probably worth	89	36.2%	14.0%
	3. Not worth	35	14.2%	5.5%
	4. Don't know	30	12.2%	4.7%

17. Provide rent subsidies for families meeting income limitations.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Definitely worth		210	52.6%	33.1%
2. Probably worth		118	29.6%	18.6%
3. Not worth		38	9.5%	6.0%
4. Don't know		33	8.3%	5.2%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Definitely worth		42	52.5%	6.6%
2. Probably worth		17	21.2%	2.7%
3. Not worth		11	13.8%	1.7%
4. Don't know		10	12.5%	1.6%
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Definitely worth		44	28.2%	6.9%
2. Probably worth		53	34.0%	8.3%
3. Not worth		37	23.7%	5.8%
4. Don't know		22	14.1%	3.5%

18. Provide housing assistance to low income seniors

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Definitely worth		407	64.1%
2. Probably worth		134	21.1%
3. Not worth		55	8.7%
4. Don't know		39	6.1%

18. Provide housing assistance to low income seniors

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Definitely worth		166	64.3%	26.1%

	2. Probably worth	53	20.5%	8.3%
	3. Not worth	24	9.3%	3.8%
	4. Don't know	15	5.8%	2.4%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Definitely worth	236	63.8%	37.2%
	2. Probably worth	81	21.9%	12.8%
	3. Not worth	31	8.4%	4.9%
	4. Don't know	22	5.9%	3.5%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Definitely worth	5	71.4%	0.8%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

18. Provide housing assistance to low income seniors

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FdoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Definitely worth	1	100.0%	0.2%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Definitely worth	7	46.7%	1.1%
	2. Probably worth	3	20.0%	0.5%
	3. Not worth	3	20.0%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	2	13.3%	0.3%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				

	1. Definitely worth	130	72.9%	20.5%
	2. Probably worth	26	14.7%	4.1%
	3. Not worth	10	5.4%	1.5%
	4. Don't know	13	7.0%	2.0%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Definitely worth	73	71.6%	11.5%
	2. Probably worth	15	14.7%	2.4%
	3. Not worth	6	5.9%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	8	7.8%	1.3%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Definitely worth	190	60.5%	29.9%
	2. Probably worth	77	24.5%	12.1%
	3. Not worth	30	9.6%	4.7%
	4. Don't know	17	5.4%	2.7%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Definitely worth	8	61.5%	1.3%
	2. Probably worth	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Not worth	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Definitely worth	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	1	20.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				

	1. Definitely worth	6	100.0%	0.9%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

18. Provide housing assistance to low income seniors				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Definitely worth	19	79.2%	3.0%
	2. Probably worth	3	12.5%	0.5%
	3. Not worth	1	4.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	1	4.2%	0.2%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Definitely worth	49	71.0%	7.7%
	2. Probably worth	12	17.4%	1.9%
	3. Not worth	6	8.7%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	2	2.9%	0.3%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Definitely worth	63	59.4%	9.9%
	2. Probably worth	21	19.8%	3.3%
	3. Not worth	12	11.3%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	10	9.4%	1.6%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Definitely worth	130	68.4%	20.5%
	2. Probably worth	35	18.4%	5.5%

	3. Not worth	13	6.8%	2.0%
	4. Don't know	12	6.3%	1.9%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Definitely worth	146	59.3%	23.0%
	2. Probably worth	63	25.6%	9.9%
	3. Not worth	23	9.3%	3.6%
	4. Don't know	14	5.7%	2.2%

18. Provide housing assistance to low income seniors

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Definitely worth	265	66.4%	41.7%
	2. Probably worth	78	19.5%	12.3%
	3. Not worth	31	7.8%	4.9%
	4. Don't know	25	6.3%	3.9%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Definitely worth	56	70.0%	8.8%
	2. Probably worth	12	15.0%	1.9%
	3. Not worth	7	8.8%	1.1%
	4. Don't know	5	6.2%	0.8%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Definitely worth	86	55.1%	13.5%
	2. Probably worth	44	28.2%	6.9%
	3. Not worth	17	10.9%	2.7%
	4. Don't know	9	5.8%	1.4%

19. Relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing

Responses: 635	Total	Overall %
----------------	-------	-----------

	1. Definitely worth	374	58.9%
	2. Probably worth	173	27.2%
	3. Not worth	50	7.9%
	4. Don't know	38	6.0%

19. Relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Definitely worth	148	57.4%	23.3%
	2. Probably worth	71	27.5%	11.2%
	3. Not worth	21	8.1%	3.3%
	4. Don't know	18	7.0%	2.8%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Definitely worth	224	60.5%	35.3%
	2. Probably worth	99	26.8%	15.6%
	3. Not worth	29	7.8%	4.6%
	4. Don't know	18	4.9%	2.8%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Definitely worth	2	28.6%	0.3%
	2. Probably worth	3	42.9%	0.5%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

19. Relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Definitely worth	1	100.0%	0.2%
	2. Probably worth	0	0.0%	0.0%

	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Definitely worth	9	60.0%	1.4%
	2. Probably worth	5	33.3%	0.8%
	3. Not worth	1	6.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Definitely worth	120	67.0%	18.9%
	2. Probably worth	42	23.5%	6.6%
	3. Not worth	7	3.9%	1.1%
	4. Don't know	10	5.6%	1.6%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Definitely worth	51	50.0%	8.0%
	2. Probably worth	29	28.8%	4.6%
	3. Not worth	10	9.6%	1.5%
	4. Don't know	12	11.5%	1.8%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Definitely worth	179	57.0%	28.2%
	2. Probably worth	89	28.3%	14.0%
	3. Not worth	27	8.6%	4.3%
	4. Don't know	19	6.1%	3.0%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Definitely worth	8	61.5%	1.3%
	2. Probably worth	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Not worth	2	15.4%	0.3%

	4. Don't know	1	7.7%	0.2%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Definitely worth	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Probably worth	2	40.0%	0.3%
	3. Not worth	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Definitely worth	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Probably worth	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not worth	1	16.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%

19. Relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Definitely worth	17	70.8%	2.7%
	2. Probably worth	6	25.0%	0.9%
	3. Not worth	1	4.2%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Definitely worth	50	72.5%	7.9%
	2. Probably worth	14	20.3%	2.2%
	3. Not worth	4	5.8%	0.6%
	4. Don't know	1	1.4%	0.2%

35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Definitely worth	60	56.6%	9.4%	
2. Probably worth	31	29.2%	4.9%	
3. Not worth	9	8.5%	1.4%	
4. Don't know	6	5.7%	0.9%	
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Definitely worth	113	59.5%	17.8%	
2. Probably worth	47	24.7%	7.4%	
3. Not worth	17	8.9%	2.7%	
4. Don't know	13	6.8%	2.0%	
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Definitely worth	134	54.5%	21.1%	
2. Probably worth	75	30.5%	11.8%	
3. Not worth	19	7.7%	3.0%	
4. Don't know	18	7.3%	2.8%	

19. Relocating homeless families and the working poor to affordable housing				
Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Definitely worth	259	64.9%	40.8%	
2. Probably worth	100	25.1%	15.7%	
3. Not worth	20	5.0%	3.1%	
4. Don't know	20	5.0%	3.1%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Definitely worth	54	67.5%	8.5%	
2. Probably worth	18	22.5%	2.8%	
3. Not worth	6	7.5%	0.9%	
4. Don't know	2	2.5%	0.3%	

Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Definitely worth	61	39.1%	9.6%
	2. Probably worth	55	35.3%	8.7%
	3. Not worth	24	15.4%	3.8%
	4. Don't know	16	10.3%	2.5%

20. What is your greatest concern regarding affordable housing development in Broward County?

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Increased density	64	10.1%
	2. Negative impact on property values	42	6.6%
	3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	65	10.2%
	4. Higher property taxes	73	11.5%
	5. Combo-All of the above	246	38.7%
	6. Other	32	5.0%
	7. None	58	9.1%
	8. Don't know	55	8.7%

20. What is your greatest concern regarding affordable housing development in Broward County?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Increased density	30	11.6%	4.7%
	2. Negative impact on property values	19	7.4%	3.0%
	3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	19	7.4%	3.0%
	4. Higher property taxes	31	12.0%	4.9%
	5. Combo-All of the above	97	37.6%	15.3%
	6. Other	14	5.4%	2.2%
	7. None	26	10.1%	4.1%
	8. Don't know	22	8.5%	3.5%

Female, Responses: 370				
1. Increased density	33	8.9%	5.2%	
2. Negative impact on property values	22	5.9%	3.5%	
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	46	12.4%	7.2%	
4. Higher property taxes	42	11.4%	6.6%	
5. Combo-All of the above	146	39.5%	23.0%	
6. Other	17	4.6%	2.7%	
7. None	32	8.6%	5.0%	
8. Don't know	32	8.6%	5.0%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Increased density	1	14.3%	0.2%	
2. Negative impact on property values	1	14.3%	0.2%	
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Higher property taxes	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Combo-All of the above	3	42.9%	0.5%	
6. Other	1	14.3%	0.2%	
7. None	0	0.0%	0.0%	
8. Don't know	1	14.3%	0.2%	

20. What is your greatest concern regarding affordable housing development in Broward County?

Responses: 635				
	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Increased density	0	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Negative impact on property values	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Higher property taxes	0	0.0%	0.0%	
5. Combo-All of the above	1	100.0%	0.2%	
6. Other	0	0.0%	0.0%	
7. None	0	0.0%	0.0%	

8. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Increased density	3	20.0%	0.5%
2. Negative impact on property values	1	6.7%	0.2%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	2	13.3%	0.3%
4. Higher property taxes	3	20.0%	0.5%
5. Combo-All of the above	5	33.3%	0.8%
6. Other	0	0.0%	0.0%
7. None	1	6.7%	0.2%
8. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Increased density	14	7.8%	2.2%
2. Negative impact on property values	11	6.2%	1.7%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	15	8.5%	2.4%
4. Higher property taxes	24	13.2%	3.7%
5. Combo-All of the above	72	40.3%	11.4%
6. Other	8	4.7%	1.3%
7. None	11	6.2%	1.7%
8. Don't know	24	13.2%	3.7%
Hispanic, Responses: 102			
1. Increased density	8	7.8%	1.3%
2. Negative impact on property values	8	7.8%	1.3%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	10	9.8%	1.6%
4. Higher property taxes	4	3.9%	0.6%
5. Combo-All of the above	47	46.1%	7.4%
6. Other	4	3.9%	0.6%
7. None	11	10.8%	1.7%
8. Don't know	10	9.8%	1.6%

White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Increased density	33	10.4%	5.1%	
2. Negative impact on property values	21	6.8%	3.4%	
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	33	10.6%	5.2%	
4. Higher property taxes	37	11.8%	5.8%	
5. Combo-All of the above	118	37.7%	18.6%	
6. Other	17	5.3%	2.6%	
7. None	32	10.1%	5.0%	
8. Don't know	23	7.2%	3.6%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. Increased density	4	30.8%	0.6%	
2. Negative impact on property values	1	7.7%	0.2%	
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	1	7.7%	0.2%	
4. Higher property taxes	1	7.7%	0.2%	
5. Combo-All of the above	2	15.4%	0.3%	
6. Other	2	15.4%	0.3%	
7. None	0	0.0%	0.0%	
8. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Increased density	0	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Negative impact on property values	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	1	20.0%	0.2%	
4. Higher property taxes	1	20.0%	0.2%	
5. Combo-All of the above	3	60.0%	0.5%	
6. Other	0	0.0%	0.0%	
7. None	0	0.0%	0.0%	
8. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	

Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Increased density		0	0.0%	0.0%
2. Negative impact on property values		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood		1	16.7%	0.2%
4. Higher property taxes		0	0.0%	0.0%
5. Combo-All of the above		3	50.0%	0.5%
6. Other		0	0.0%	0.0%
7. None		1	16.7%	0.2%
8. Don't know		1	16.7%	0.2%

20. What is your greatest concern regarding affordable housing development in Broward County?

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			
1. Increased density	3	12.5%	0.5%
2. Negative impact on property values	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	2	8.3%	0.3%
4. Higher property taxes	2	8.3%	0.3%
5. Combo-All of the above	8	33.3%	1.3%
6. Other	0	0.0%	0.0%
7. None	4	16.7%	0.6%
8. Don't know	5	20.8%	0.8%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69			
1. Increased density	8	11.6%	1.3%
2. Negative impact on property values	2	2.9%	0.3%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	5	7.2%	0.8%
4. Higher property taxes	5	7.2%	0.8%
5. Combo-All of the above	26	37.7%	4.1%
6. Other	3	4.3%	0.5%
7. None	12	17.4%	1.9%

8. Don't know	8	11.6%	1.3%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106			
1. Increased density	10	9.4%	1.6%
2. Negative impact on property values	7	6.6%	1.1%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	13	12.3%	2.0%
4. Higher property taxes	11	10.4%	1.7%
5. Combo-All of the above	37	34.9%	5.8%
6. Other	7	6.6%	1.1%
7. None	10	9.4%	1.6%
8. Don't know	11	10.4%	1.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190			
1. Increased density	21	11.1%	3.3%
2. Negative impact on property values	13	6.8%	2.0%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	14	7.4%	2.2%
4. Higher property taxes	20	10.5%	3.1%
5. Combo-All of the above	80	42.1%	12.6%
6. Other	10	5.3%	1.6%
7. None	15	7.9%	2.4%
8. Don't know	17	8.9%	2.7%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246			
1. Increased density	22	8.9%	3.5%
2. Negative impact on property values	20	8.1%	3.1%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	31	12.6%	4.9%
4. Higher property taxes	35	14.2%	5.5%
5. Combo-All of the above	95	38.6%	15.0%
6. Other	12	4.9%	1.9%
7. None	17	6.9%	2.7%
8. Don't know	14	5.7%	2.2%

20. What is your greatest concern regarding affordable housing development in Broward County?

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels			
Democrat, Responses: 399			
1. Increased density	39	9.8%	6.1%
2. Negative impact on property values	26	6.5%	4.1%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	37	9.3%	5.8%
4. Higher property taxes	45	11.3%	7.1%
5. Combo-All of the above	158	39.6%	24.9%
6. Other	21	5.3%	3.3%
7. None	40	10.0%	6.3%
8. Don't know	33	8.3%	5.2%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80			
1. Increased density	9	11.2%	1.4%
2. Negative impact on property values	6	7.5%	0.9%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	6	7.5%	0.9%
4. Higher property taxes	8	10.0%	1.3%
5. Combo-All of the above	29	36.2%	4.6%
6. Other	6	7.5%	0.9%
7. None	8	10.0%	1.3%
8. Don't know	8	10.0%	1.3%
Republican, Responses: 156			
1. Increased density	16	10.3%	2.5%
2. Negative impact on property values	10	6.4%	1.6%
3. Changing the character of the neighborhood	22	14.1%	3.5%
4. Higher property taxes	20	12.8%	3.1%
5. Combo-All of the above	59	37.8%	9.3%
6. Other	5	3.2%	0.8%
7. None	10	6.4%	1.6%
8. Don't know	14	9.0%	2.2%

21. This funding will reduce foreclosures.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		238	37.5%
2. Somewhat persuasive		174	27.4%
3. Not persuasive		125	19.7%
4. Don't know		98	15.4%

21. This funding will reduce foreclosures.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		97	37.6%	15.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive		64	24.8%	10.1%
3. Not persuasive		57	22.1%	9.0%
4. Don't know		40	15.5%	6.3%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive		136	36.8%	21.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive		110	29.7%	17.3%
3. Not persuasive		68	18.4%	10.7%
4. Don't know		56	15.1%	8.8%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive		5	71.4%	0.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		2	28.6%	0.3%

21. This funding will reduce foreclosures.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
1. Very persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Very persuasive	7	46.7%	1.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive	3	20.0%	0.5%
3. Not persuasive	5	33.3%	0.8%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Very persuasive	71	39.5%	11.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive	46	25.6%	7.2%
3. Not persuasive	29	16.3%	4.6%
4. Don't know	33	18.6%	5.2%
Hispanic, Responses: 102			
1. Very persuasive	47	46.1%	7.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive	20	19.6%	3.1%
3. Not persuasive	20	19.6%	3.1%
4. Don't know	15	14.7%	2.4%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314			
1. Very persuasive	111	35.3%	17.5%
2. Somewhat persuasive	94	30.0%	14.8%
3. Not persuasive	65	20.8%	10.3%
4. Don't know	44	14.0%	6.9%

Other, Responses: 13				
1. Very persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	3	23.1%	0.5%	
3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Very persuasive	3	60.0%	0.5%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%	
Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very persuasive	2	33.3%	0.3%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	4	66.7%	0.6%	

21. This funding will reduce foreclosures.				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very persuasive	7	29.2%	1.1%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	8	33.3%	1.3%	
3. Not persuasive	5	20.8%	0.8%	
4. Don't know	4	16.7%	0.6%	
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very persuasive	31	44.9%	4.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	18	26.1%	2.8%	

	3. Not persuasive	10	14.5%	1.6%
	4. Don't know	10	14.5%	1.6%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very persuasive	38	35.8%	6.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	30	28.3%	4.7%
	3. Not persuasive	21	19.8%	3.3%
	4. Don't know	17	16.0%	2.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very persuasive	80	42.1%	12.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	41	21.6%	6.5%
	3. Not persuasive	37	19.5%	5.8%
	4. Don't know	32	16.8%	5.0%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very persuasive	82	33.3%	12.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	77	31.3%	12.1%
	3. Not persuasive	52	21.1%	8.2%
	4. Don't know	35	14.2%	5.5%

21. This funding will reduce foreclosures.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very persuasive	164	41.1%	25.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	113	28.3%	17.8%
	3. Not persuasive	65	16.3%	10.2%
	4. Don't know	57	14.3%	9.0%

No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive	28	35.0%	4.4%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	21	26.2%	3.3%	
3. Not persuasive	16	20.0%	2.5%	
4. Don't know	15	18.8%	2.4%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive	46	29.5%	7.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	40	25.6%	6.3%	
3. Not persuasive	44	28.2%	6.9%	
4. Don't know	26	16.7%	4.1%	

22. These investments will make it easier and more reliable for those wanting or needing a place to live.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
1. Very persuasive		261		41.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive		182		28.7%
3. Not persuasive		114		18.0%
4. Don't know		78		12.3%

22. These investments will make it easier and more reliable for those wanting or needing a place to live.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		101	39.1%	15.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		73	28.3%	11.5%
3. Not persuasive		50	19.4%	7.9%
4. Don't know		34	13.2%	5.4%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive		156	42.2%	24.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		109	29.5%	17.2%
3. Not persuasive		63	17.0%	9.9%

	4. Don't know	42	11.4%	6.6%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Very persuasive	4	57.1%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	1	14.3%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

22. These investments will make it easier and more reliable for those wanting or needing a place to live.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Very persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Very persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%
	3. Not persuasive	1	6.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	2	13.3%	0.3%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very persuasive	75	41.9%	11.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	42	23.5%	6.6%
	3. Not persuasive	35	19.6%	5.5%
	4. Don't know	27	15.1%	4.3%

Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Very persuasive	33	32.4%	5.3%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	27	26.5%	4.3%	
3. Not persuasive	26	25.5%	4.0%	
4. Don't know	16	15.7%	2.5%	
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Very persuasive	133	42.4%	20.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	94	29.9%	14.8%	
3. Not persuasive	53	16.9%	8.4%	
4. Don't know	34	10.8%	5.4%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. Very persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	4	30.8%	0.6%	
3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Very persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	40.0%	0.3%	
3. Not persuasive	2	40.0%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very persuasive	2	33.3%	0.3%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	33.3%	0.3%	
3. Not persuasive	1	16.7%	0.2%	
4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%	

22. These investments will make it easier and more reliable for those wanting or needing a place to live.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very persuasive		9	37.5%	1.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive		7	29.2%	1.1%
3. Not persuasive		3	12.5%	0.5%
4. Don't know		5	20.8%	0.8%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very persuasive		27	39.1%	4.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive		16	23.2%	2.5%
3. Not persuasive		16	23.2%	2.5%
4. Don't know		10	14.5%	1.6%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very persuasive		45	42.5%	7.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive		30	28.3%	4.7%
3. Not persuasive		18	17.0%	2.8%
4. Don't know		13	12.3%	2.0%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Very persuasive		73	38.4%	11.5%
2. Somewhat persuasive		61	32.1%	9.6%
3. Not persuasive		30	15.8%	4.7%
4. Don't know		26	13.7%	4.1%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very persuasive		107	43.5%	16.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		68	27.6%	10.7%
3. Not persuasive		47	19.1%	7.4%
4. Don't know		24	9.8%	3.8%

22. These investments will make it easier and more reliable for those wanting or needing a place to live.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very persuasive		175	43.9%	27.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		111	27.8%	17.5%
3. Not persuasive		67	16.8%	10.6%
4. Don't know		46	11.5%	7.2%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive		31	38.8%	4.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		26	32.5%	4.1%
3. Not persuasive		11	13.8%	1.7%
4. Don't know		12	15.0%	1.9%
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive		55	35.3%	8.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive		45	28.8%	7.1%
3. Not persuasive		36	23.1%	5.7%
4. Don't know		20	12.8%	3.1%

23. Improvements to affordable housing will make our neighborhoods better .

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		229		36.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive		209		32.9%
3. Not persuasive		128		20.2%
4. Don't know		69		10.9%

23. Improvements to affordable housing will make our neighborhoods better .

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
----------------	--	-------	------------	-----------

Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive	86	33.3%	13.5%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	88	34.1%	13.9%	
3. Not persuasive	50	19.4%	7.9%	
4. Don't know	34	13.2%	5.4%	
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive	140	37.8%	22.0%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	119	32.2%	18.7%	
3. Not persuasive	78	21.1%	12.3%	
4. Don't know	33	8.9%	5.2%	
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive	3	42.9%	0.5%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	28.6%	0.3%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%	

23. Improvements to affordable housing will make our neighborhoods better .

Responses: 635				
	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Race (FdoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	4	26.7%	0.6%	
3. Not persuasive	3	20.0%	0.5%	

	4. Don't know	2	13.3%	0.3%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very persuasive	72	40.3%	11.4%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	71	39.5%	11.1%
	3. Not persuasive	21	11.6%	3.3%
	4. Don't know	15	8.5%	2.4%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	43	42.3%	6.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	35	34.6%	5.6%
	3. Not persuasive	12	11.5%	1.8%
	4. Don't know	12	11.5%	1.8%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	103	32.9%	16.3%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	100	31.9%	15.8%
	3. Not persuasive	77	24.4%	12.1%
	4. Don't know	34	10.9%	5.4%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	6	46.2%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%

Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very persuasive		4	66.7%	0.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not persuasive		1	16.7%	0.2%
4. Don't know		1	16.7%	0.2%

23. Improvements to affordable housing will make our neighborhoods better .				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very persuasive		8	33.3%	1.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive		8	33.3%	1.3%
3. Not persuasive		5	20.8%	0.8%
4. Don't know		3	12.5%	0.5%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very persuasive		24	34.8%	3.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive		26	37.7%	4.1%
3. Not persuasive		12	17.4%	1.9%
4. Don't know		7	10.1%	1.1%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very persuasive		43	40.6%	6.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive		30	28.3%	4.7%
3. Not persuasive		23	21.7%	3.6%
4. Don't know		10	9.4%	1.6%

45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Very persuasive	77	40.5%	12.1%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	59	31.1%	9.3%	
3. Not persuasive	37	19.5%	5.8%	
4. Don't know	17	8.9%	2.7%	
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very persuasive	77	31.3%	12.1%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	86	35.0%	13.5%	
3. Not persuasive	51	20.7%	8.0%	
4. Don't know	32	13.0%	5.0%	

23. Improvements to affordable housing will make our neighborhoods better .				
Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very persuasive	167	41.9%	26.3%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	135	33.8%	21.3%	
3. Not persuasive	60	15.0%	9.4%	
4. Don't know	37	9.3%	5.8%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive	29	36.2%	4.6%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	28	35.0%	4.4%	
3. Not persuasive	14	17.5%	2.2%	
4. Don't know	9	11.2%	1.4%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive	33	21.2%	5.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	46	29.5%	7.2%	
3. Not persuasive	54	34.6%	8.5%	
4. Don't know	23	14.7%	3.6%	

24. It is important that housing for very low income residents is made available to reduce homelessness in Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive		313	49.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive		180	28.3%
3. Not persuasive		80	12.6%
4. Don't know		62	9.8%

24. It is important that housing for very low income residents is made available to reduce homelessness in Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		128	49.6%	20.2%
2. Somewhat persuasive		67	26.0%	10.6%
3. Not persuasive		41	15.9%	6.5%
4. Don't know		22	8.5%	3.5%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive		182	49.2%	28.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive		112	30.3%	17.6%
3. Not persuasive		39	10.5%	6.1%
4. Don't know		37	10.0%	5.8%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive		3	42.9%	0.5%
2. Somewhat persuasive		1	14.3%	0.2%
3. Not persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		3	42.9%	0.5%

24. It is important that housing for very low income residents is made available to reduce homelessness in Broward County.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)			
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1			
1. Very persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15			
1. Very persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive	5	33.3%	0.8%
3. Not persuasive	4	26.7%	0.6%
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179			
1. Very persuasive	100	55.9%	15.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive	46	25.7%	7.2%
3. Not persuasive	13	7.3%	2.0%
4. Don't know	20	11.2%	3.1%
Hispanic, Responses: 102			
1. Very persuasive	57	55.9%	9.0%
2. Somewhat persuasive	23	22.5%	3.6%
3. Not persuasive	8	7.8%	1.3%
4. Don't know	14	13.7%	2.2%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314			

	1. Very persuasive	144	45.9%	22.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	96	30.7%	15.2%
	3. Not persuasive	46	14.5%	7.2%
	4. Don't know	28	8.9%	4.4%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	7	53.8%	1.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very persuasive	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	33.3%	0.3%

24. It is important that housing for very low income residents is made available to reduce homelessness in Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very persuasive	17	70.8%	2.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	5	20.8%	0.8%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%

	4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very persuasive	38	55.1%	6.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	17	24.6%	2.7%
	3. Not persuasive	9	13.0%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	5	7.2%	0.8%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very persuasive	50	47.2%	7.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	32	30.2%	5.0%
	3. Not persuasive	13	12.3%	2.0%
	4. Don't know	11	10.4%	1.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very persuasive	100	52.6%	15.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	43	22.6%	6.8%
	3. Not persuasive	27	14.2%	4.3%
	4. Don't know	20	10.5%	3.1%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very persuasive	108	43.9%	17.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	83	33.7%	13.1%
	3. Not persuasive	31	12.6%	4.9%
	4. Don't know	24	9.8%	3.8%

24. It is important that housing for very low income residents is made available to reduce homelessness in Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
	Party Labels			
	Democrat, Responses: 399			
	1. Very persuasive	220	55.1%	34.6%

	2. Somewhat persuasive	108	27.1%	17.0%
	3. Not persuasive	34	8.5%	5.4%
	4. Don't know	37	9.3%	5.8%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very persuasive	49	61.3%	7.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	15	18.8%	2.4%
	3. Not persuasive	9	11.2%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	7	8.8%	1.1%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very persuasive	44	28.2%	6.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	57	36.5%	9.0%
	3. Not persuasive	37	23.7%	5.8%
	4. Don't know	18	11.5%	2.8%

25. It is important that we ensure an appropriate mix of housing for all of our residents.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Very persuasive	266		41.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	192		30.2%
	3. Not persuasive	112		17.6%
	4. Don't know	65		10.2%

25. It is important that we ensure an appropriate mix of housing for all of our residents.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very persuasive	111	43.0%	17.5%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	69	26.7%	10.9%
	3. Not persuasive	48	18.6%	7.6%
	4. Don't know	30	11.6%	4.7%

Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive		151	40.8%	23.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive		122	33.0%	19.2%
3. Not persuasive		64	17.3%	10.1%
4. Don't know		33	8.9%	5.2%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive		4	57.1%	0.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		1	14.3%	0.2%
3. Not persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		2	28.6%	0.3%

25. It is important that we ensure an appropriate mix of housing for all of our residents.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FdoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
2. Somewhat persuasive		1	100.0%	0.2%
3. Not persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very persuasive		5	33.3%	0.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive		5	33.3%	0.8%
3. Not persuasive		3	20.0%	0.5%
4. Don't know		2	13.3%	0.3%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Very persuasive		94	52.7%	14.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		50	27.9%	7.9%

	3. Not persuasive	15	8.5%	2.4%
	4. Don't know	20	10.9%	3.1%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	39	38.5%	6.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	29	28.8%	4.6%
	3. Not persuasive	16	15.4%	2.5%
	4. Don't know	18	17.3%	2.8%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	122	38.9%	19.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	98	31.2%	15.4%
	3. Not persuasive	66	21.0%	10.4%
	4. Don't know	28	8.9%	4.4%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	4	30.8%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%
	3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very persuasive	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%

4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%
---------------	---	-------	------

25. It is important that we ensure an appropriate mix of housing for all of our residents.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			
1. Very persuasive	11	45.8%	1.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive	7	29.2%	1.1%
3. Not persuasive	4	16.7%	0.6%
4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69			
1. Very persuasive	40	58.0%	6.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive	14	20.3%	2.2%
3. Not persuasive	13	18.8%	2.0%
4. Don't know	2	2.9%	0.3%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106			
1. Very persuasive	44	41.5%	6.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive	34	32.1%	5.4%
3. Not persuasive	18	17.0%	2.8%
4. Don't know	10	9.4%	1.6%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190			
1. Very persuasive	83	43.7%	13.1%
2. Somewhat persuasive	57	30.0%	9.0%
3. Not persuasive	29	15.3%	4.6%
4. Don't know	21	11.1%	3.3%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246			
1. Very persuasive	88	35.8%	13.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive	80	32.5%	12.6%

	3. Not persuasive	48	19.5%	7.6%
	4. Don't know	30	12.2%	4.7%

25. It is important that we ensure an appropriate mix of housing for all of our residents.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very persuasive	189	47.4%	29.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	127	31.8%	20.0%
	3. Not persuasive	50	12.5%	7.9%
	4. Don't know	33	8.3%	5.2%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very persuasive	38	47.5%	6.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	23	28.7%	3.6%
	3. Not persuasive	9	11.2%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	10	12.5%	1.6%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very persuasive	39	25.0%	6.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	42	26.9%	6.6%
	3. Not persuasive	53	34.0%	8.3%
	4. Don't know	22	14.1%	3.5%

26. Broward county needs dedicated funding for affordable housing programs that provide help first time homebuyers and people in need of rent assistance.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
	1. Very persuasive	325	51.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	183	28.8%
	3. Not persuasive	71	11.2%
	4. Don't know	56	8.8%

26. Broward county needs dedicated funding for affordable housing programs that provide help first time homebuyers and people in need of rent assistance.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		126	48.8%	19.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive		80	31.0%	12.6%
3. Not persuasive		31	12.0%	4.9%
4. Don't know		21	8.1%	3.3%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive		195	52.7%	30.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive		103	27.8%	16.2%
3. Not persuasive		39	10.5%	6.1%
4. Don't know		33	8.9%	5.2%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive		4	57.1%	0.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not persuasive		1	14.3%	0.2%
4. Don't know		2	28.6%	0.3%

26. Broward county needs dedicated funding for affordable housing programs that provide help first time homebuyers and people in need of rent assistance.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FdoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very persuasive		1	100.0%	0.2%
2. Somewhat persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Not persuasive		0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know		0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				

	1. Very persuasive	5	33.3%	0.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%
	3. Not persuasive	3	20.0%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	1	6.7%	0.2%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very persuasive	114	63.6%	17.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	43	24.0%	6.8%
	3. Not persuasive	7	3.9%	1.1%
	4. Don't know	15	8.5%	2.4%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	58	56.9%	9.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	20	19.6%	3.1%
	3. Not persuasive	12	11.8%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	12	11.8%	1.9%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	149	47.3%	23.4%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	97	30.9%	15.3%
	3. Not persuasive	42	13.5%	6.7%
	4. Don't know	26	8.2%	4.1%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	4	30.8%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	6	46.2%	0.9%
	3. Not persuasive	1	7.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	4	80.0%	0.6%

	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very persuasive	3	50.0%	0.5%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	33.3%	0.3%

26. Broward county needs dedicated funding for affordable housing programs that provide help first time homebuyers and people in need of rent assistance.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very persuasive	16	66.7%	2.5%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	7	29.2%	1.1%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	4.2%	0.2%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very persuasive	44	63.8%	6.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	16	23.2%	2.5%
	3. Not persuasive	5	7.2%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	4	5.8%	0.6%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very persuasive	49	46.2%	7.7%

	2. Somewhat persuasive	32	30.2%	5.0%
	3. Not persuasive	14	13.2%	2.2%
	4. Don't know	11	10.4%	1.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very persuasive	106	55.8%	16.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	47	24.7%	7.4%
	3. Not persuasive	24	12.6%	3.8%
	4. Don't know	13	6.8%	2.0%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very persuasive	110	44.7%	17.3%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	81	32.9%	12.8%
	3. Not persuasive	28	11.4%	4.4%
	4. Don't know	27	11.0%	4.3%

26. Broward county needs dedicated funding for affordable housing programs that provide help first time homebuyers and people in need of rent assistance.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very persuasive	228	57.1%	35.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	111	27.8%	17.5%
	3. Not persuasive	30	7.5%	4.7%
	4. Don't know	30	7.5%	4.7%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very persuasive	43	53.8%	6.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	24	30.0%	3.8%
	3. Not persuasive	5	6.2%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	8	10.0%	1.3%

Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive	54	34.6%	8.5%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	48	30.8%	7.6%	
3. Not persuasive	36	23.1%	5.7%	
4. Don't know	18	11.5%	2.8%	

27. This plan will fund hundreds of new housing units throughout Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
1. Very persuasive		282		44.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive		169		26.6%
3. Not persuasive		118		18.6%
4. Don't know		66		10.4%

27. This plan will fund hundreds of new housing units throughout Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		112	43.4%	17.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		66	25.6%	10.4%
3. Not persuasive		53	20.5%	8.3%
4. Don't know		27	10.5%	4.3%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. Very persuasive		165	44.6%	26.0%
2. Somewhat persuasive		103	27.8%	16.2%
3. Not persuasive		65	17.6%	10.2%
4. Don't know		37	10.0%	5.8%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive		5	71.4%	0.8%

	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

27. This plan will fund hundreds of new housing units throughout Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Very persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Very persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	5	33.3%	0.8%
	3. Not persuasive	4	26.7%	0.6%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very persuasive	101	56.6%	16.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	43	24.0%	6.8%
	3. Not persuasive	18	10.1%	2.8%
	4. Don't know	17	9.3%	2.6%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	53	51.9%	8.3%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	33	32.7%	5.3%
	3. Not persuasive	2	1.9%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	14	13.5%	2.2%

White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. Very persuasive	127	40.3%	19.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	80	25.6%	12.7%	
3. Not persuasive	75	23.9%	11.8%	
4. Don't know	32	10.1%	5.0%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. Very persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%	
3. Not persuasive	1	7.7%	0.2%	
4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. Very persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	40.0%	0.3%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	2	40.0%	0.3%	
Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. Very persuasive	3	50.0%	0.5%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	33.3%	0.3%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%	

27. This plan will fund hundreds of new housing units throughout Broward County.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			

	1. Very persuasive	16	66.7%	2.5%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	6	25.0%	0.9%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	8.3%	0.3%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very persuasive	36	52.2%	5.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	15	21.7%	2.4%
	3. Not persuasive	16	23.2%	2.5%
	4. Don't know	2	2.9%	0.3%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
	1. Very persuasive	46	43.4%	7.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	31	29.2%	4.9%
	3. Not persuasive	12	11.3%	1.9%
	4. Don't know	17	16.0%	2.7%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
	1. Very persuasive	90	47.4%	14.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	49	25.8%	7.7%
	3. Not persuasive	30	15.8%	4.7%
	4. Don't know	21	11.1%	3.3%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very persuasive	94	38.2%	14.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	68	27.6%	10.7%
	3. Not persuasive	60	24.4%	9.4%
	4. Don't know	24	9.8%	3.8%

27. This plan will fund hundreds of new housing units throughout Broward County.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
	Party Labels			

Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very persuasive	198	49.6%	31.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	103	25.8%	16.2%	
3. Not persuasive	58	14.5%	9.1%	
4. Don't know	40	10.0%	6.3%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive	40	50.0%	6.3%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	23	28.7%	3.6%	
3. Not persuasive	13	16.2%	2.0%	
4. Don't know	4	5.0%	0.6%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive	44	28.2%	6.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	43	27.6%	6.8%	
3. Not persuasive	47	30.1%	7.4%	
4. Don't know	22	14.1%	3.5%	

28. Since Broward will continue to grow, affordable housing issues will only get worse if we don't do something now to address them.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
1. Very persuasive		374		58.9%
2. Somewhat persuasive		132		20.8%
3. Not persuasive		67		10.6%
4. Don't know		62		9.8%

28. Since Broward will continue to grow, affordable housing issues will only get worse if we don't do something now to address them.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		156	60.5%	24.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive		43	16.7%	6.8%

	3. Not persuasive	32	12.4%	5.0%
	4. Don't know	27	10.5%	4.3%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very persuasive	214	57.8%	33.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	88	23.8%	13.9%
	3. Not persuasive	35	9.5%	5.5%
	4. Don't know	33	8.9%	5.2%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Very persuasive	4	57.1%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	14.3%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

28. Since Broward will continue to grow, affordable housing issues will only get worse if we don't do something now to address them.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Very persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Very persuasive	9	60.0%	1.4%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	4	26.7%	0.6%
	3. Not persuasive	1	6.7%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	1	6.7%	0.2%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				

	1. Very persuasive	118	65.9%	18.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	39	21.7%	6.1%
	3. Not persuasive	7	3.9%	1.1%
	4. Don't know	15	8.5%	2.4%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	63	61.8%	9.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	19	18.6%	3.0%
	3. Not persuasive	6	5.9%	0.9%
	4. Don't know	14	13.7%	2.2%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	179	57.0%	28.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	64	20.3%	10.0%
	3. Not persuasive	42	13.5%	6.7%
	4. Don't know	29	9.2%	4.5%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	4	30.8%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%
	3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	2	15.4%	0.3%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
Unknown, Responses: 6				

1. Very persuasive	3	50.0%	0.5%
2. Somewhat persuasive	1	16.7%	0.2%
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	2	33.3%	0.3%

28. Since Broward will continue to grow, affordable housing issues will only get worse if we don't do something now to address them.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			
1. Very persuasive	17	70.8%	2.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	8.3%	0.3%
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	5	20.8%	0.8%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69			
1. Very persuasive	48	69.6%	7.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive	12	17.4%	1.9%
3. Not persuasive	5	7.2%	0.8%
4. Don't know	4	5.8%	0.6%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106			
1. Very persuasive	61	57.5%	9.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive	19	17.9%	3.0%
3. Not persuasive	11	10.4%	1.7%
4. Don't know	15	14.2%	2.4%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190			
1. Very persuasive	122	64.2%	19.2%
2. Somewhat persuasive	35	18.4%	5.5%
3. Not persuasive	19	10.0%	3.0%
4. Don't know	14	7.4%	2.2%

65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very persuasive	126	51.2%	19.8%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	64	26.0%	10.1%	
3. Not persuasive	32	13.0%	5.0%	
4. Don't know	24	9.8%	3.8%	

28. Since Broward will continue to grow, affordable housing issues will only get worse if we don't do something now to address them.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very persuasive	261	65.4%	41.1%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	78	19.5%	12.3%	
3. Not persuasive	21	5.3%	3.3%	
4. Don't know	39	9.8%	6.1%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive	49	61.3%	7.7%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	19	23.8%	3.0%	
3. Not persuasive	6	7.5%	0.9%	
4. Don't know	6	7.5%	0.9%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive	64	41.0%	10.1%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	35	22.4%	5.5%	
3. Not persuasive	40	25.6%	6.3%	
4. Don't know	17	10.9%	2.7%	

29. Homelessness is a problem which impacts every resident of Broward County and the lack of affordable housing is a major part of the problem.

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. Very persuasive	328	51.7%	

	2. Somewhat persuasive	153		24.1%
	3. Not persuasive	86		13.5%
	4. Don't know	68		10.7%

29. Homelessness is a problem which impacts every resident of Broward County and the lack of affordable housing is a major part of the problem.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very persuasive	131	50.8%	20.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	57	22.1%	9.0%
	3. Not persuasive	41	15.9%	6.5%
	4. Don't know	29	11.2%	4.6%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very persuasive	193	52.2%	30.4%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	95	25.7%	15.0%
	3. Not persuasive	45	12.2%	7.1%
	4. Don't know	37	10.0%	5.8%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Very persuasive	4	57.1%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	14.3%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

29. Homelessness is a problem which impacts every resident of Broward County and the lack of affordable housing is a major part of the problem.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Very persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%

	3. Not persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Very persuasive	11	73.3%	1.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	6.7%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	3	20.0%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very persuasive	93	51.9%	14.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	58	32.6%	9.2%
	3. Not persuasive	10	5.4%	1.5%
	4. Don't know	18	10.1%	2.8%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	53	52.0%	8.3%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	21	20.6%	3.3%
	3. Not persuasive	14	13.7%	2.2%
	4. Don't know	14	13.7%	2.2%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	159	50.5%	25.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	74	23.7%	11.7%
	3. Not persuasive	49	15.7%	7.8%
	4. Don't know	32	10.1%	5.0%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	6	46.2%	0.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	7.7%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	3	23.1%	0.5%

	4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	4	80.0%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very persuasive	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	33.3%	0.3%

29. Homelessness is a problem which impacts every resident of Broward County and the lack of affordable housing is a major part of the problem.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
	1. Very persuasive	14	58.3%	2.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	3	12.5%	0.5%
	3. Not persuasive	4	16.7%	0.6%
	4. Don't know	3	12.5%	0.5%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
	1. Very persuasive	45	65.2%	7.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	15	21.7%	2.4%
	3. Not persuasive	5	7.2%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	4	5.8%	0.6%

35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very persuasive	51	48.1%	8.0%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	31	29.2%	4.9%	
3. Not persuasive	9	8.5%	1.4%	
4. Don't know	15	14.2%	2.4%	
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Very persuasive	103	54.2%	16.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	31	16.3%	4.9%	
3. Not persuasive	35	18.4%	5.5%	
4. Don't know	21	11.1%	3.3%	
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very persuasive	115	46.7%	18.1%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	73	29.7%	11.5%	
3. Not persuasive	33	13.4%	5.2%	
4. Don't know	25	10.2%	3.9%	

29. Homelessness is a problem which impacts every resident of Broward County and the lack of affordable housing is a major part of the problem.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very persuasive	226	56.6%	35.6%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	101	25.3%	15.9%	
3. Not persuasive	35	8.8%	5.5%	
4. Don't know	37	9.3%	5.8%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive	51	63.7%	8.0%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	11	13.8%	1.7%	

	3. Not persuasive	9	11.2%	1.4%
	4. Don't know	9	11.2%	1.4%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very persuasive	51	32.7%	8.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	41	26.3%	6.5%
	3. Not persuasive	42	26.9%	6.6%
	4. Don't know	22	14.1%	3.5%

30. This investment in affordable housing will create JOBS since companies looking to relocate or grow here in Broward need affordable housing for their workforce.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
	1. Very persuasive	318		50.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	188		29.6%
	3. Not persuasive	77		12.1%
	4. Don't know	52		8.2%

30. This investment in affordable housing will create JOBS since companies looking to relocate or grow here in Broward need affordable housing for their workforce.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
	1. Very persuasive	131	50.8%	20.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	80	31.0%	12.6%
	3. Not persuasive	29	11.2%	4.6%
	4. Don't know	18	7.0%	2.8%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very persuasive	182	49.2%	28.7%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	108	29.2%	17.0%
	3. Not persuasive	48	13.0%	7.6%
	4. Don't know	32	8.6%	5.0%

Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. Very persuasive	5	71.4%	0.8%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%	

30. This investment in affordable housing will create JOBS since companies looking to relocate or grow here in Broward need affordable housing for their workforce.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. Very persuasive	1	100.0%	0.2%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. Very persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	6	40.0%	0.9%	
3. Not persuasive	3	20.0%	0.5%	
4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. Very persuasive	104	58.1%	16.4%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	47	26.4%	7.4%	
3. Not persuasive	13	7.0%	2.0%	
4. Don't know	15	8.5%	2.4%	
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. Very persuasive	53	51.9%	8.3%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	29	28.8%	4.6%	

	3. Not persuasive	10	9.6%	1.5%
	4. Don't know	10	9.6%	1.5%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	151	48.1%	23.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	97	30.9%	15.3%
	3. Not persuasive	43	13.8%	6.8%
	4. Don't know	23	7.2%	3.6%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	5	38.5%	0.8%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	3. Not persuasive	3	23.1%	0.5%
	4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	2	40.0%	0.3%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	2	40.0%	0.3%
Unknown, Responses: 6				
	1. Very persuasive	4	66.7%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	16.7%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	16.7%	0.2%

30. This investment in affordable housing will create JOBS since companies looking to relocate or grow here in Broward need affordable housing for their workforce.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			

21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. Very persuasive	15	62.5%	2.4%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	6	25.0%	0.9%	
3. Not persuasive	2	8.3%	0.3%	
4. Don't know	1	4.2%	0.2%	
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. Very persuasive	35	50.7%	5.5%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	23	33.3%	3.6%	
3. Not persuasive	7	10.1%	1.1%	
4. Don't know	4	5.8%	0.6%	
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. Very persuasive	48	45.3%	7.6%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	26	24.5%	4.1%	
3. Not persuasive	18	17.0%	2.8%	
4. Don't know	14	13.2%	2.2%	
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. Very persuasive	100	52.6%	15.7%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	50	26.3%	7.9%	
3. Not persuasive	25	13.2%	3.9%	
4. Don't know	15	7.9%	2.4%	
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. Very persuasive	120	48.8%	18.9%	
2. Somewhat persuasive	83	33.7%	13.1%	
3. Not persuasive	25	10.2%	3.9%	
4. Don't know	18	7.3%	2.8%	

30. This investment in affordable housing will create JOBS since companies looking to relocate or grow here in Broward need affordable housing for their workforce.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. Very persuasive		212	53.1%	33.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive		119	29.8%	18.7%
3. Not persuasive		41	10.3%	6.5%
4. Don't know		27	6.8%	4.3%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. Very persuasive		40	50.0%	6.3%
2. Somewhat persuasive		24	30.0%	3.8%
3. Not persuasive		7	8.8%	1.1%
4. Don't know		9	11.2%	1.4%
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. Very persuasive		66	42.3%	10.4%
2. Somewhat persuasive		45	28.8%	7.1%
3. Not persuasive		29	18.6%	4.6%
4. Don't know		16	10.3%	2.5%

31. A dedicated funding source is needed in order to keep up with the yearly demand for affordable housing.

Responses: 635		Total		Overall %
1. Very persuasive		252		39.7%
2. Somewhat persuasive		202		31.8%
3. Not persuasive		101		15.9%
4. Don't know		80		12.6%

31. A dedicated funding source is needed in order to keep up with the yearly demand for affordable housing.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. Very persuasive		109	42.2%	17.2%

	2. Somewhat persuasive	81	31.4%	12.8%
	3. Not persuasive	36	14.0%	5.7%
	4. Don't know	32	12.4%	5.0%
Female, Responses: 370				
	1. Very persuasive	139	37.6%	21.9%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	121	32.7%	19.1%
	3. Not persuasive	64	17.3%	10.1%
	4. Don't know	46	12.4%	7.2%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
	1. Very persuasive	4	57.1%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	1	14.3%	0.2%
	4. Don't know	2	28.6%	0.3%

31. A dedicated funding source is needed in order to keep up with the yearly demand for affordable housing.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
	1. Very persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
	4. Don't know	1	100.0%	0.2%
Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
	1. Very persuasive	7	46.7%	1.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	3	20.0%	0.5%

	3. Not persuasive	5	33.3%	0.8%
	4. Don't know	0	0.0%	0.0%
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
	1. Very persuasive	83	46.5%	13.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	57	31.8%	9.0%
	3. Not persuasive	14	7.8%	2.2%
	4. Don't know	25	14.0%	3.9%
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
	1. Very persuasive	45	44.2%	7.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	33	32.7%	5.3%
	3. Not persuasive	10	9.6%	1.5%
	4. Don't know	14	13.5%	2.2%
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
	1. Very persuasive	117	37.3%	18.4%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	102	32.5%	16.1%
	3. Not persuasive	60	19.1%	9.4%
	4. Don't know	35	11.1%	5.5%
Other, Responses: 13				
	1. Very persuasive	4	30.8%	0.6%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	4	30.8%	0.6%
	3. Not persuasive	2	15.4%	0.3%
	4. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
	1. Very persuasive	3	60.0%	0.5%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	1	20.0%	0.2%
	3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%

4. Don't know	1	20.0%	0.2%
Unknown, Responses: 6			
1. Very persuasive	1	16.7%	0.2%
2. Somewhat persuasive	2	33.3%	0.3%
3. Not persuasive	0	0.0%	0.0%
4. Don't know	3	50.0%	0.5%

31. A dedicated funding source is needed in order to keep up with the yearly demand for affordable housing.

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)			
21 and Under, Responses: 24			
1. Very persuasive	10	41.7%	1.6%
2. Somewhat persuasive	6	25.0%	0.9%
3. Not persuasive	3	12.5%	0.5%
4. Don't know	5	20.8%	0.8%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69			
1. Very persuasive	38	55.1%	6.0%
2. Somewhat persuasive	16	23.2%	2.5%
3. Not persuasive	10	14.5%	1.6%
4. Don't know	5	7.2%	0.8%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106			
1. Very persuasive	43	40.6%	6.8%
2. Somewhat persuasive	27	25.5%	4.3%
3. Not persuasive	16	15.1%	2.5%
4. Don't know	20	18.9%	3.1%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190			

	1. Very persuasive	78	41.1%	12.3%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	62	32.6%	9.8%
	3. Not persuasive	31	16.3%	4.9%
	4. Don't know	19	10.0%	3.0%
65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
	1. Very persuasive	83	33.7%	13.1%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	91	37.0%	14.3%
	3. Not persuasive	41	16.7%	6.5%
	4. Don't know	31	12.6%	4.9%

31. A dedicated funding source is needed in order to keep up with the yearly demand for affordable housing.

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
	1. Very persuasive	179	44.9%	28.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	125	31.3%	19.7%
	3. Not persuasive	51	12.8%	8.0%
	4. Don't know	44	11.0%	6.9%
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
	1. Very persuasive	33	41.2%	5.2%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	25	31.2%	3.9%
	3. Not persuasive	10	12.5%	1.6%
	4. Don't know	12	15.0%	1.9%
Republican, Responses: 156				
	1. Very persuasive	40	25.6%	6.3%
	2. Somewhat persuasive	52	33.3%	8.2%
	3. Not persuasive	40	25.6%	6.3%
	4. Don't know	24	15.4%	3.8%

32. One last time, considering all you've heard in taking this poll, would you vote FOR or AGAINST requiring the County to set aside each year.2 mills, or approximately 30 million in current dollars, to fund affordable housing?

Responses: 635		Total	Overall %
1. For		379	59.7%
2. Against		138	21.7%
3. Don't know		118	18.6%

32. One last time, considering all you've heard in taking this poll, would you vote FOR or AGAINST requiring the County to set aside each year.2 mills, or approximately 30 million in current dollars, to fund affordable housing?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Gender (3R)				
Male, Responses: 258				
1. For		166	64.3%	26.1%
2. Against		60	23.3%	9.4%
3. Don't know		32	12.4%	5.0%
Female, Responses: 370				
1. For		208	56.2%	32.8%
2. Against		77	20.8%	12.1%
3. Don't know		85	23.0%	13.4%
Unknown, Responses: 7				
1. For		5	71.4%	0.8%
2. Against		1	14.3%	0.2%
3. Don't know		1	14.3%	0.2%

32. One last time, considering all you've heard in taking this poll, would you vote FOR or AGAINST requiring the County to set aside each year.2 mills, or approximately 30 million in current dollars, to fund affordable housing?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Race (FDoS)				
American Indian or Alaskan Native, Responses: 1				
1. For		0	0.0%	0.0%
2. Against		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Don't know		1	100.0%	0.2%

Asian Or Pacific Islander, Responses: 15				
1. For	9	60.0%	1.4%	
2. Against	3	20.0%	0.5%	
3. Don't know	3	20.0%	0.5%	
Black, Not Hispanic, Responses: 179				
1. For	125	69.8%	19.7%	
2. Against	22	12.4%	3.5%	
3. Don't know	32	17.8%	5.0%	
Hispanic, Responses: 102				
1. For	73	71.6%	11.5%	
2. Against	11	10.8%	1.7%	
3. Don't know	18	17.6%	2.8%	
White, Not Hispanic, Responses: 314				
1. For	174	55.4%	27.4%	
2. Against	83	26.4%	13.1%	
3. Don't know	57	18.2%	9.0%	
Other, Responses: 13				
1. For	7	53.8%	1.1%	
2. Against	3	23.1%	0.5%	
3. Don't know	3	23.1%	0.5%	
Multi-racial, Responses: 5				
1. For	3	60.0%	0.5%	
2. Against	0	0.0%	0.0%	
3. Don't know	2	40.0%	0.3%	

Unknown, Responses: 6				
1. For		4	66.7%	0.6%
2. Against		0	0.0%	0.0%
3. Don't know		2	33.3%	0.3%

32. One last time, considering all you've heard in taking this poll, would you vote FOR or AGAINST requiring the County to set aside each year.2 mills, or approximately 30 million in current dollars, to fund affordable housing?

Responses: 635		Total	Crosstab %	Overall %
Age (Generational)				
21 and Under, Responses: 24				
1. For		21	87.5%	3.3%
2. Against		2	8.3%	0.3%
3. Don't know		1	4.2%	0.2%
22 to 34 "Millennials", Responses: 69				
1. For		41	59.4%	6.5%
2. Against		17	24.6%	2.7%
3. Don't know		11	15.9%	1.7%
35 to 44 "Gen X", Responses: 106				
1. For		60	56.6%	9.4%
2. Against		20	18.9%	3.1%
3. Don't know		26	24.5%	4.1%
45 to 64 "Boomers", Responses: 190				
1. For		129	67.9%	20.3%
2. Against		39	20.5%	6.1%
3. Don't know		22	11.6%	3.5%

65+ "Traditionalist", Responses: 246				
1. For	128	52.0%	20.2%	
2. Against	60	24.4%	9.4%	
3. Don't know	58	23.6%	9.1%	

32. One last time, considering all you've heard in taking this poll, would you vote FOR or AGAINST requiring the County to set aside each year.2 mills, or approximately 30 million in current dollars, to fund affordable housing?

Responses: 635	Total	Crosstab %	Overall %	
Party Labels				
Democrat, Responses: 399				
1. For	263	65.9%	41.4%	
2. Against	60	15.0%	9.4%	
3. Don't know	76	19.0%	12.0%	
No Party Affiliation , Responses: 80				
1. For	50	62.5%	7.9%	
2. Against	14	17.5%	2.2%	
3. Don't know	16	20.0%	2.5%	
Republican, Responses: 156				
1. For	66	42.3%	10.4%	
2. Against	64	41.0%	10.1%	
3. Don't know	26	16.7%	4.1%	